1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
2	SOUTHERN DIVISION
3	SHERROD, TEED, VANDERHAGEN and WARE,
4	Plaintiffs, -v- Case No. 17-10164
5	VNA and LAN,
6	Defendants.
7	/
8	JURY TRIAL
9	BEFORE THE HONORABLE JUDITH E. LEVY
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11	MARCH 30, 2022
12	APPEARANCES:
13	
14	For the Corey M. Stern Plaintiffs: Levy Konigsberg, LLP
15	605 Third Avenue, 33rd Floor New York, New York 10158
16	Moshe Maimon
17	Levy Konigsberg, LLP 605 Third Avenue, 33rd Floor
18	New York, New York 10158
19	Melanie Daly Levy Konigsberg, LLP
20	605 Third Avenue, 33rd Floor New York, New York 10158
21	
22	(Appearances Continued on Next Page)
23	
24	TO OBTAIN A JESECA C. EDDINGTON, RDR, RMR, CRR, FCRR CERTIFIED FEDERAL OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	TRANSCRIPT: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 200 EAST LIBERTY STREET ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN 48104

1	For the VNA	Daniel Stein		
2	Defendants:	Mayer Brown LLP 1221 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10020		
3		,		
4		James M. Campbell Campbell Conroy & O'Neil, P.C.		
5	For the LAN Defendants:	1 Constitution Wharf, Suite 310 Boston, Massachusetts 02129		
6		Marcus Christian Mayer Brown LLP 1999 K Street NW		
7				
8		Washington, District of Columbia 20006		
9		Mark R. Ter Molen Mayer Brown LLP		
10		71 South Wacker Drive Chicago, Illinois 60606		
11		Cheryl A. Bush		
12		Bush, Seyferth PLLC 100 West Big Beaver Road, Suite 400 Troy, Michigan 48084		
13				
14		Wayne Brian Mason Faegre Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP 1717 Main Street, Suite 5400		
15		Dallas, Texas 75201		
16		David C. Kent Faegre Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP		
17		1717 Main Street, Suite 5400 Dallas, Texas 75201		
18		Tory Finley		
19		Faegre Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP 1717 Main Street, Suite 5400		
20		Dallas, Texas 75201		
21		Philip A. Erickson Plunkett & Cooney		
22		325 East Grand River Avenue, Suite 250 East Lansing, Michigan 48823		
23		Lass Landing, mismigan 10025		
24				
25				
l				

1	INDEX	
2	<u>WITNESSES</u>	PAGE
3 4	DAYNE WALLING Direct examination(cont.)by Mr. Stern Cross-examination by Mr. Christian	
5		
6	EVIIIDIEC Markad :	7 dmi++od
7		<u>Admitted</u>
8		1750
9	602-43X1781	1752 1782 1768
10	13181764	1764 1793
11	Marked	1793
12	Defendant VNA 761830	1831
13	6181871	1871 1874
14	19061880	1880 1817
15	2756A1862	1863 1852
16	48941804	1804 1885
17		1889
18		
19		
20	MISCELLANY	PAGE_
21	Proceedings	
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	<u>PROCEEDINGS</u>
2	THE CLERK: Calling Sherrod, Teed, Vanderhagen and
3	Ware vs VNA and LAN.
4	THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated. Could we
5	have appearances.
6	MR. STERN: Your Honor, Corey Stern and Moshe Maimon
7	on behalf of the bellwether plaintiffs.
8	THE COURT: Thank you.
9	MR. CHRISTIAN: Good morning, Your Honor. Marcus
10	Christian, Daniel Stein, and James Campbell on behalf of VNA.
11	THE COURT: Good morning.
12	MR. MASON: And for LAN, Wayne Mason, Philip
13	Erickson, David Kent.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Great. Thank you. Thank you,
15	Mr. Walling, for being here safely.
16	The roads weren't bad, but I had about a quarter inch
17	of ice, maybe an eighth of an inch of ice on my car. So I
18	hope you got here safely.
19	I'm going to see if all of our jurors are here.
20	Let's see. The little dots are going. No, they're not oh,
21	they are. Wait. Are we missing any jurors? No. Okay. So
22	they are all here.
23	What I'd like to do is address the issue of the video
24	the request by plaintiffs to have live video testimony at a
25	sidebar or at a break. So we'll do that later.
l	

```
So I will let Bill know we're ready.
 1
 2
               It's supposed to be 60 degrees this afternoon.
               MR. MAIMON: Isn't that crazy?
 3
 4
               THE COURT: It's crazy. It's probably -- do you feel
 5
      like it's good for the human body?
 6
               MR. CAMPBELL: Good for developing colds, Judge.
 7
               THE COURT: I heard. Do you have a cold,
 8
     Mr. Campbell?
 9
               MR. CAMPBELL: I'm trying to get over it. I'm hoping
10
     not to spread it to anybody.
11
               THE COURT: Well, it's a good thing to have these
12
     masks. Because you went home for a birthday celebration and
13
     came back with a cold was my observation.
14
               MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah. I think that I brought it
15
      there, because my wife told me that I did when I spoke to her
16
      last night.
17
               THE COURT: Oh, dear. Oh, I'm sorry. That's not a
18
     good birthday present.
19
               MR. CAMPBELL: No. But it was a wonderful time.
20
               THE COURT: Well, that's what matters.
21
               You know, I've been thinking about how we all used to
22
     think, and maybe that's still the mindset in light of this
23
      trial, that our culture just tells us to go to work when we're
24
     sick. And I would love to see that turn around.
25
               I'm one. I've done it all my life. I never used a
```

```
sick day and blah, blah, blah. But I think it's -- the people
 2
      who take their sick days when they're sick should be rewarded
 3
      for protecting the rest of us.
 4
               But these will help just with ordinary colds, too.
 5
               THE CLERK: All rise for the jury.
 6
                                 (Jury In)
 7
               THE COURT: Well, welcome back to the jury.
 8
      you, so much, for being here. You made the right call about
 9
      the weather. It wasn't too bad on the roads I hope. So
10
      please be seated. And we will get started right away.
11
               And we were, I think, finishing Mr. Stern's
      examination.
12
13
               So, Mr. Walling, you're still under oath to tell the
14
      truth from when you were first sworn in.
15
               THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
16
                      DIRECT EXAMINATION (continued)
     BY MR. STERN:
17
18
        Mr. Walling, good morning.
19
        Good morning.
     Α.
20
          Could you please turn to Tab 45 in your binder, Walling 1.
21
               MR. STERN: Your Honor, this document was previously
22
      admitted as Plaintiffs' 0464.
23
               THE COURT: Okay.
24
     BY MR. STERN:
          Mr. Walling, if you could please turn to page 2 of this
```

```
document.
 2
          Okay. Yes.
 3
          I've highlighted at the top a section that reads, "Hi,
     Jason. I'm working on a story about an email that was sent out
 4
 5
     to the UM-Flint campus community on Friday about their water
 6
     quality.
 7
               "I was hoping to get comment on if any of it seems
     related to the issues with Flint water or if it's an isolated
 8
 9
     incident. They saw high levels of lead in certain locations.
10
     I will copy the email below. Would someone be able to comment
11
     on this today?"
12
               Do you see that, sir?
13
     Α.
         I do.
          If you could turn back to page 1 --
14
15
               THE COURT: Can you tell us the date that was sent?
16
      I'm not --
17
               MR. STERN:
                          Sure, Judge.
18
               THE COURT:
                           It's on page 1. Is that it what it is?
19
               MR. STERN: Yes, Judge. It was sent on -- I'll point
20
      the Court to it. It was sent on Monday, February 9, 2015, at
21
      12:39 P.M.
22
               THE COURT:
                          Thank you.
23
               MR. STERN:
                           Sure.
24
     BY MR. STERN:
25
          And then you can see where I've highlighted that
```

1 Ms. Rossman-McKinney was forwarded that email from Mr. Lorenz 2 as was Howard Croft, Elizabeth Murphy, and Gerald Ambrose.

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

recently.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And Mr. Lorenz says, "Hello, everyone. Here is another water-related story that I believe can be deflected. It appears UM Flint has done water testing on their campus

"The test came back showing TTHM levels were lower than MCL, but have shown in a few places higher than acceptable lead levels. Is this an internal plumbing issue that is not connected to us? Please advise."

Did I read that correctly?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And then as we go up, you can see that Rob Nicholas, on February 9 at 3:16 P.M. -- I'm sorry. I skipped one.

And you see on February 9, Ms. Rossman-McKinney says, "Proving what Rob and I were discussing, everyone is testing that darn water"?

- 20 A. Yes.
 - Q. Then Rob Nicholas, at 3:16 P.M., on February 9 responds to Kelly Rossman-McKinney, David Gadis, who we've talked about yesterday, Scott Edwards, Matt Demo, and Paul Whitmore.

And Mr. Nicholas says, "No. Lead could be a problem, based on the water. Part of what we will do is look at the

```
water quality, testing, and results for lots of different
 2
     variables."
 3
               Did I read that correctly?
 4
     Α.
          Yes.
 5
          At any point in time, on February 9, 2015, until today,
 6
     did anyone from Veolia ever contact you directly and say, "Lead
 7
     could be a problem, based on the water"?
 8
          No.
     Α.
 9
          And the section of the Mr. Nicholas's email that says,
     "Part of what we will do is look at the water quality, testing,
10
11
     and results for lots of different variables," does that line up
12
     with what your expectation was of what VNA was going to do for
     the City of Flint?
13
14
     Α.
          Yes.
15
          If you could turn, please, sir, to Tab 46 in your binder.
16
               MR. STERN: Your Honor, this was previously --
17
                          It's 634.
               THE COURT:
18
                          I'm actually going to go to 47 first.
               MR. STERN:
19
      No, I'm sorry, Judge.
20
               THE COURT: Okay.
21
               MR. STERN:
                          46, please.
22
               THE COURT:
                          Go to 46. Okay.
23
               MR. STERN: And this was previously admitted as -- in
24
      the Gadis deposition as Exhibit 465.
25
               THE COURT: Okay. I have that as received.
```

```
BY MR. STERN:
```

- Q. You can see that this appears to be the same document that

 I just showed you that was in Tab 45.
- Do you have the highlighted version where someone's writing to Jason Lorenz, "and I'm working on a story"?
- 6 It's on page 2.
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. And then if I turn back to page 1 and you turn back to page 1, you'll see again where Mr. Lorenz states, "Hello,
- 10 everyone. Here's another water-related story."
- 11 Do you see that, sir?
- 12 A. Yes. It's the same email.
- 13 Q. So far it appears to be an identical document, correct?
- 14 A. Right.
- Q. And here is the Kelly Rossman-McKinney email that I didn't initially show you before but went back to, "Proving what Rob
- 17 and I discussed."
- Do you see that, sir?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. But then on February 9 at 3:34 P.M., just 20 minutes or so
- 21 after Mr. Nicholas first responded to Ms. Rossman-McKinney, he
- 22 then again responds to David Gadis, Scott Edwards, Matt Demo,
- 23 and Paul Whitmore.
- And in this instance, he writes, "Kelly, do not pass
- 25 this on. This begins to prove our concern that with lots of

different people doing tests, it's a problem unless clear information is provided.

"Flow of water in different parts of the building is the school's responsibility. The city, however, needs to be aware of this problem with lead and operate the system to minimize this as much as possible and consider the impact in future plans. We had already identified this as something to be reviewed."

Did I read that correctly?

A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. Did Ms. Rossman-McKinney or did Mr. Nicholas or anyone else from Veolia ever pass on to you that the city needs to be aware of this problem with lead and operate the system to minimize this as much as possible?
- A. No.

THE COURT: Mr. Stern, before you leave this exhibit, have we been told or have we learned who Matt Demo is and the other people on these messages?

MR. STERN: I believe in the Gadis deposition, these were all VNA employees.

THE COURT: Right. And they're all people with VNA.

Okay. Oh, I see. Okay. Thank you.

- BY MR. STERN:
- \parallel Q. Mr. Walling, if you could please turn to Tab 47.
- MR. STERN: Your Honor, this was previously offered

```
and accepted into evidence as Plaintiffs' 466.
               THE COURT: Okay.
 2
 3
     BY MR. STERN:
 4
         Are you looking at the document, Mr. Walling --
 5
     Mayor Walling?
 6
          Tab 47?
 7
         Yes, sir.
     Q.
 8
         Yes.
     Α.
 9
          And on page 2, this, again, appears to be the exact same
     email. "Hi, Jason. I'm working on a story."
10
11
               Do you see that?
12
     Α.
          Yes.
13
          And then if I turn to page 1, you see again from Jason
     Lorenz to the same group, "Hello, everyone. Here's another
14
15
     water-related story."
16
               Do you see that?
17
     Α.
          Yes.
18
          Then if I move up a little bit, here's the line from Kelly
19
     Rossman-McKinney that I pointed out in the last two documents.
20
               Do you see that?
21
     Α.
          Yes.
22
          And then Mr. Nicholas responds -- and this time he
23
     responds only to someone by the name of Marvin Gnagy.
24
               Do you see that?
25
     Α.
          Yes.
```

```
The last two emails I showed you indicated that the emails
 2
     had been sent to a number of people. And then Her Honor asked
 3
     me who they were, and then we identified them as VNA employees.
               Here Mr. Nicholas is only sending this to one
 4
 5
     employee Mr. Gnagy.
 6
               Do you see that?
 7
               MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection, Your Honor. He seems to
 8
     be testifying.
 9
               THE COURT: Well, and also I'm not sure if the
      previous email went to Howard Croft and Gerald Ambrose.
10
11
      are the emergency manager and the director of public works.
12
               MR. STERN: It did not. No --
13
               THE COURT:
                          Oh.
14
               MR. STERN: -- the email from Ms. Rossman-McKinney --
15
      let me go back.
16
               THE COURT: Okay. Yeah. I'd like that. Because I'm
17
      a little bit confused myself.
18
                          Sure. So let's -- let me start over.
               MR. STERN:
19
               THE COURT:
                          Sure.
20
     BY MR. STERN:
21
        You can see here that Jason Lorenz is sending an email to
22
     Kelly Rossman-McKinney, Howard Croft, Elizabeth Murray, Gerald
23
     Ambrose.
24
               "Hello, everyone. Here's another water-related
25
     story."
```

```
1
               Do you see that?
 2
               And then --
 3
               THE COURT: We didn't get an answer. We have to get
 4
      an answer.
 5
               MR. STERN:
                          I'm sorry.
 6
     BY MR. STERN:
 7
          Do you see that, sir?
 8
        Yes. And have those individuals been identified?
 9
               THE COURT: Yeah. I'm not sure that Murphy,
      Elizabeth Murphy --
10
11
     BY MR. STERN:
12
          Do you know who Elizabeth Murphy is, sir?
13
     Α.
          I do, yes.
14
        Who is she?
15
          She's a Flint employee. She was the assistant to the
16
     emergency managers.
17
               THE COURT:
                          Okay.
18
     BY MR. STERN:
19
        And then you see here, to answer Her Honor's question,
20
     Ms. Rossman-McKinney responds to that email but only includes
21
     David Gadis; Robert Nicholas; Scott Edwards, who has a Veolia
22
     address; Matt Demo, who has a Veolia address; and Paul Whitmore
23
     with a Veolia address.
24
               Do you see that, sir?
25
     Α.
          I do.
```

- Q. So Ms. Rossman-McKinney is commenting on the earlier email, but she's only sending it to the Veolia addressees.
- 3 Do you see that, sir?
 - A. Yes.

5

- Q. And then Mr. Nicholas, in his email, only goes to Marvin Gnagy, and he says, "Yep, lead seems to be a problem."
- 7 Do you see that, sir?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And at any point in time did David Gadis or Robert
- 10 Nicholas or Scott Edwards or Matt Demo or Paul Whitmore or
- 11 Kelly Rossman-McKinney ever come to you on February 9 or any
- 12 | time since and say, "Mayor Walling, lead seems to be a
- 13 problem"?
- 14 A. No.
- Q. Did anyone from Veolia ever come to you and say, "Lead
- 16 seems to be a problem"?
- 17 A. Not that I can recall.
- 18 Q. Do you think that's something that you would recall if
- 19 someone from Veolia had ever told you that, sir?
- 20 A. Yes. I can remember the other meetings where the
- 21 different issues we've talked about with the city's water
- 22 system came up. Those are in my notes. I try to use quotes.
- 23 | Q. Well, let's take -- let's take a look at one of your
- 24 | notes. If you can turn to Tab 66, could you identify what you
- 25 see in Tab 66, sir?

```
A. It's in the other binder. Give me a moment.
```

Q. No problem.

- A. Okay. Yes, this is -- these are meeting notes of mine from February 10, 2015, in a meeting with Veolia.
- 5 MR. STERN: Your Honor, plaintiffs seek to admit 6 Exhibit 602-43P.
- 7 MR. CHRISTIAN: No objection.
- 8 MR. ERICKSON: No objection.
- 9 THE COURT: Okay. It's received.
- 10 (Plaintiff Exhibit No. 602-43P Admitted Into Evidence.)
- 11 BY MR. STERN:
- 12 Q. Mayor Walling, tell the jury about what these notes
- contain and why you made them at the time this meeting
- 14 occurred.
- 15 A. So this February 10, 2015, meeting was an update from
- 16 Veolia. It was one of these meetings in the mayor's office
- 17 conference table. I remember the emergency manager's office,
- my office. Elizabeth Murphy's office that we just mentioned,
- 19 we were all in that suite.
- 20 Q. We just looked at Plaintiffs' 466 from February 9, 2015,
- 21 | at 3:30 P.M. this was the third of three documents where
- 22 Mr. Nicholas commented on lead.
- 23 Do you remember that?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Okay. At any point in time the next day on February 10,

```
2015, did anyone from Veolia during that meeting step up and say, "Lead seems to be a problem"?
```

A. No.

Q. Are you surprised, having looked at three emails that I just showed you from Rob Nicholas where he indicates in various ways at various times all within an hour that lead seems to be a problem, that on February 10, 2015, one day later, no one, not a single person from Veolia --

MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection. Argumentative.

THE COURT: Overruled. Just -- but take it down a notch.

- 12 BY MR. STERN:
- Q. Are you surprised that no one from Veolia mentioned it on the 10th?
 - A. Yes. This is an internal meeting. This is not -- this is not something where things would be fully decided. This wasn't in front of the public. I mean, that would be the purpose of this kind of meeting where you have discussions.

Rob Nicholas was there from Veolia. There may have been other Veolia staff that I don't recall by name. These are all important issues that we're covering here. Talking about equipment, distribution, customer service and public part.

I remember discussions on each of these things, you know, the advisory group. These are all -- we have a whole

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

No.

Α.

```
set of problems in the water system. And I don't see any
reason why if that was the discussion the day before, why
would that have not just been on this agenda, would have been
part of this meeting.
         Even if it's still something that's under review or
being tested, it -- I would have wanted to know.
    Okay. Can you please turn to Tab 68 in your binder, sir?
    Yes.
Α.
    Could you tell the jury what this document is.
Q.
    This is that same meeting between, you know, myself,
executive staff, the emergency manager, and Veolia internally
again in the mayor's office suite a week later.
         So this is dated February 17, 2015. These are my
handwritten meeting notes.
         MR. STERN: Your Honor, plaintiffs seek to introduce
602 - 43R.
         MR. CHRISTIAN: No objection.
         MR. ERICKSON:
                       No objection.
         THE COURT: Okay. It's received.
  (Plaintiff Exhibit No. 602-43R Admitted Into Evidence.)
BY MR. STERN:
    Mayor Walling, could you please tell the jury whether
anyone from Veolia a week later ever told you, based on what
you remember, that lead seems to be a problem?
```

Q. When it came to red, yellow water is iron and air, and discolored water, higher volume of main breaks, hydrant flushing, did you ever have conversations with anyone from Veolia about why that was happening?

A. The discolored water -- and maybe we'll look at this later in the report, which is what I really paid the most attention

to, because that was the public document, that was the final deliverable.

The discoloration in the water, you know, which was a problem, we were getting a lot of complaints about, it was described as iron in the water. And that that was causing the discoloration.

And that was coming from corrosion within the distribution system or the service lines or household plumbing. So that discolored water and corrosion was iron getting into the water.

Q. We've talked -- you've mentioned the word "aesthetics" or I've mentioned the word "aesthetics" in questions that I've asked, and you've answered so far today, and it's a word that the jury has heard repeatedly.

Could you explain what you believe "aesthetics" to mean in terms of the work that was being done and the conversations that were being had with Veolia around this time?

A. The aesthetics or, you know, the color, odor, smell, appearance, the way the water, you know, looks, smells, tastes.

That's what I was understanding, you know, the aesthetic qualities of water.

You want really good, clean, clear water. That's what we all want when it comes out of the tap. Maybe we'll look at this later in the report.

But there's things that are direct public health-related. And then there's things like, you know, discoloration from iron or maybe some sediment that gets into the water if there's a main break. And the higher priority would, of course, would be the health issues.

- Q. Okay. Do you see in the second line you made a note that says, "important topics"?
- 13 A. Yes.

- 14 Q. Why did you make that note?
 - A. That note related -- that top purpose related to public communications that were being discussed. So presentations to city council that are open, they're conducted under the Open Meetings Act.

And I don't think that took a lot of the meeting time, but that's what those three comments relate to, that Veolia should be looking to prepare information that was for the layman, you know, for your average kind of person who's concerned about the water. Comments should be short, and important topics should be covered.

Q. And do you think lead seems to be a problem, or if lead

was a problem, would you consider that to be an important topic? 2

Α. Yes.

3

9

- Are you aware that the following day, February 18, 2015, 4
- 5 was a public meeting that was attended by a number of people
- 6 including representatives from Veolia?
- 7 Okay. Yeah, that -- you can, refresh my time on the date.
- 8 But I knew there was one upcoming from this 17th meeting.
- I'll represent to you there was a meeting that took place 10 on the 18th, and we're going to get to that in a moment.

11 Do you recall in this meeting on the 17th having a 12 discussion in preparation for the meeting on the 18th?

- 13 Yes, yeah. That top line was on a -- here's the general 14 game plan. Think about your audience. Keep it short. Cover 15 important topics. And then you'll see there's another -- well,
- 16 there was a dash with a review and then there were some of those topics that were being explored. 17
- 18 Many that we've already, you know, touched on.
- 19 Could you please turn to Tab 52. I apologize. Tab 51. Q.
- 20 Α. Just give me a moment.
- 21 Q. No problem.
- 22 I'm at 51.
- 23 MR. STERN: Your Honor, this was previously marked as 24 Plaintiffs' 477 by way of the Gadis deposition.
- 25 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

```
BY MR. STERN:
```

3

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Mr. Walling, you see on page 2, I've highlighted a section that appears to be an email from Joseph Nasuta at Veolia.
- 4 Do you see that?
- 5 Α. Page 2?
- 6 Yes, sir. Page 2 of the document. If you look on your 7 screen, I've highlighted it.
- 8 Okay. Yes. Α.
- And Mr. Nasuta states, "See the attached comment for Fahey regarding Flint. I think there are some issues at the corp BD 10 11 level on this, but he need to look at it from the technical end 12 only as we always do. Please keep this between us.

"On the Flint, Michigan, project that Marvin is working on, if the best technical decision is to go back to the City of Detroit as its supplier, we should not be afraid to make that call. Just make sure that the politics of this should not get in the way of making the best recommendation."

Did I read that correctly?

- 19 Α. Yes.
- 20 Did anybody from Veolia at any point in time on 21 February 13 or after come to you and say, "The best technical 22 decision is to go back to the City of Detroit"?
- 23 Α. No.
- 24 If you turn to page 1 of this document, sir, do you see it 25 says on February 13 at 1:34 P.M., there's an email from Marvin

```
Gnagy, and he states, "Nicholas giving interim PowerPoint report next week on findings thus far.
```

"Later we likely will present findings of treatment investigations. That's all I've been told. BD seems to be running the show. Not sure why?"

Did I read that correctly?

A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And then finally at the very top of this email thread, do you see there's an email from Joseph Nasuta on February 13, 2015, at 6:47 P.M.?
- 11 A. Yes.
 - Q. And he states, "Talked with Fahey, and he made it very clear the technical group needs to point out that the quickest option and maybe the safest option is to return to Detroit water.

"We can say we have not evaluated the cost impacts of that option, if we have not, but we need to tell BD that this is an option and quick to implement."

Did I read that correctly?

- A. Yes.
- Q. As of Friday, February 13, 2015, or at any point thereafter, did anyone from Veolia ever make very clear to you that the quickest and maybe the safest option for the people of Flint was to return to the Detroit water?
- 25 A. No. I mean, what's hard for me is this is exactly where

```
we ended up in October of 2015.
THE COURT: And, Mr. Stern, "BD," can you just remind
```

3 us. It's been a few days.

MR. STERN: I'm very sorry. I think there's been testimony thus far that the acronym "BD" stands for business development.

THE COURT: Okay.

BY MR. STERN:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. Second paragraph of this document says, "I'm not sure what documents, emails, or your role in any presentation is, but please in some form, report paragraph or email best, tell BD that returning to Detroit is an option.
- "If they want to throw that out and not bring it up, that is up to them. But we need to be sure to tell them the obvious. There is a quick, easy fix to this, even if it's not in the scope of work BD asked to look at."

Did I read that correctly?

- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. We're going to get to the meeting in a minute with Rob
 Nicholas and David Gadis from the 18th.
- 21 Do you remember that meeting?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you remember anybody at that meeting, either

 Mr. Nicholas, Mr. Gadis, or Mr. Gnagy or anyone else from VNA

 come to you or say to the public, "The quickest and possibly

```
the safest option for these folks in Flint is to go back to
 2
     Detroit water"?
 3
     Α.
          No.
         And do you see at the very bottom, Mr. Nasuta says, "Keep
 4
 5
     this in the group"?
 6
          Yes.
     Α.
 7
        And, in fact, they did keep it in the group, right?
 8
               MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection, Your Honor. Misstates the
 9
      evidence.
               THE COURT: Well, it does say, "Keep in the group,"
10
11
      but I don't know if this witness knows if they kept it in the
     group or shared it, so.
12
13
               MR. STERN: I'll ask it a different way.
14
               THE COURT:
                          Okay.
15
     BY MR. STERN:
16
        You weren't in the group, right, sir?
17
     Α.
        I was not.
18
         And no one ever shared it with you, correct, sir?
     0.
19
     Α.
        Correct.
20
               MR. STERN: I'm going to switch to the PowerPoint, if
21
      that's okay.
22
               THE COURT: Sure.
23
     BY MR. STERN:
24
         You recall a meeting on February 18, 2015, Mr. Walling?
```

- \mathbb{I} Q. Tell the jury what your memory of that meeting is, please.
- 2 A. Well, this was an opportunity for, you know, the public to
- 3 get an update on Veolia. Remember we did the press conference,
- 4 we said these experts were coming, they're going to give us
- 5 additional information about our water system.
- 6 And we had these different ways of interacting with
- 7 the public and stakeholder so that this was truly a public open
- 8 meeting. And Veolia personnel were on a walking through
- 9 verview, a PowerPoint along the lines of what we said. You
- 10 know, keep it focused on the layman, keep it short, cover
- 11 important topics.
- 12 Q. Do you recall whether David Gadis spoke at that meeting?
- 13 A. My memory is that Mr. Gadis and Mr. Nicholas were the
- 14 speakers.
- 15 Q. This is an excerpt from the meeting on the 18th.
- 16 Could you just take a look at the excerpt and then
- 17 | tell the jury if you remember this?
- 18 A. You know, I remember that kind of sentiment being
- 19 ■ expressed. I don't -- you know, I don't recall this specific
- 20 quote.
- 21 Q. Do you remember David Gadis at some point saying, "The
- 22 water has come back safe"?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 25 | is safe"?

- A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Do you remember Gadis at some point saying, "And to this
- 3 day, we can say that the water is safe"?
- 4 A. Yes. That was a theme of that meeting.
- Q. Do you remember Rob Nicholas saying it easily could be
- 6 safe?
- 7 A. I remember the safe part. Again, I don't remember these 8 specific quotes, you know.
- 9 Q. We just looked at some notes from yours from the day before, and you had the word "layman" in your notes.
- 11 Do you remember that?
- 12 A. Yes, yes.
- Q. Do you see on this next slide, you remember a woman
- 14 asking, "Excuse me. In layman's terms, when you say something
- 15 could be easily safe, what does that mean"?
- 16 A. Yes. Look, I've been to a lot of meetings in Flint by
- 17 | this point. And I know -- I know what's coming when people ask
- 18 questions. So yes. This is what somebody asks.
- 19 \blacksquare Q. And do you remember that in response to that question in
- 20 | laymen's terms Mr. Nicholas saying, "Well, the water's safe
- 21 | from the standpoint of all the testing and chemicals that are
- 22 put in there"?

true.

- 23 A. Yes. That's what I would have been saying to the, you
- 24 \parallel know, public around that time, too. That's what I took to be
- 25

```
Did it give you any confidence that the water was safe
 2
     hearing it five or six times from Veolia representatives at
 3
     this meeting?
 4
          Yes. And I didn't need to hear it multiple times. But
 5
     Veolia's perspective on our water system was incredibly
 6
     valuable to me. I've already shared my concerns about the
 7
     limited capacity that we had at the city, the fact that the
     scope was bigger than what especially our public works director
 8
 9
     had any, you know, any experience with.
               So I was very much paying attention. I highly valued
10
11
      Veolia's perspective.
12
        Do you remember that meeting being reported on by the
13
     press?
14
          I'm sure it was.
15
               MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection, Your Honor.
                                                       This is
      argument that the plaintiffs' lawyer has put up.
16
17
                           Oh.
               THE COURT:
18
               MR. CHRISTIAN: That heading is not evidence.
19
               MR. STERN: I had showed these slides prior --
20
               THE COURT: Was it -- just a minute, Mr. Stern.
21
               Was that part of the Gadis examination?
22
               MR. STERN: It was.
23
               THE COURT:
                           Okay. Well, I'll allow it.
24
               MR. CHRISTIAN: The heading was not part of the
25
      examination, Your Honor.
```

```
1
               THE COURT:
                           Oh, I see.
                                        Okay.
 2
               MR. STERN:
                           Hold on one second.
 3
                           If you can do it without the heading.
               THE COURT:
 4
               MR. STERN:
                           No problem.
 5
                               Objection. Before we go with this, I
               MR. CHRISTIAN:
 6
      want to make sure this was actually in evidence, this text
 7
      that's being quoted here that Mr. Stern is publishing.
 8
               THE COURT: Mr. Stern is -- should we move on?
 9
               MR. STERN:
                           That's okay. We'll move on.
10
               THE COURT:
                           Okay.
11
     BY MR. STERN:
12
          Do you recall the press reporting on the meeting, sir?
13
     Α.
          Yes.
14
          Do you recall if the press reported that anyone at that
15
     meeting represented that the water was safe?
16
          Yes. I mean, that's the biggest takeaway.
17
     Q.
          Okay.
18
                                   You have to take it off.
               THE COURT:
                           Okay.
19
                           Apologies.
               MR. STERN:
20
               I found a document last evening that I'd like to just
21
      share with the parties and then approach the witness with if
22
      that's okay.
23
               THE COURT:
                            Sure.
24
               MR. STERN:
                           May I?
25
     BY MR. STERN:
```

```
Q.
          You recognize this document, sir?
 2
     Α.
          Yes.
 3
          And at the top, do you see it's addressed from Howard
 4
     Croft to Jerry Ambrose and then there's a few people CC'd,
 5
     Elizabeth Murphy who you just explained to the jury who she
 6
     was, Ms. Natasha Henderson who you've already described as the
 7
     city manager or administrator, and then it says, "Flint mayor."
 8
               Is that you, sir?
 9
               That's me in, I think, Howard's contact list.
          Yes.
     Α.
10
               MR. STERN: Your Honor, we seek to introduce as
11
      Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1318, this email.
12
               THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Christian?
13
               MR. CHRISTIAN: Is this on the exhibit list?
14
               MR. STERN: Yes.
15
               MR. CHRISTIAN: No objection, Your Honor.
16
               MR. ERICKSON: No objection.
17
               THE COURT: Okay. Then it's received.
18
        (Plaintiff Exhibit No. 1318 Admitted Into Evidence.)
19
     BY MR. STERN:
20
        And there at the top, you see the email from Mr. Croft, as
21
     well as yourself and a number of other people, correct?
22
     Α.
          Yes.
23
          And Mr. Croft says to you -- and this is on the 24th of
24
     February, correct, sir?
25
          Yes.
     Α.
```

```
This is sometime between the public meeting on the 18th
 2
     and the day that the report came out on March 12, correct?
 3
          The report came out what date?
     Α.
 4
          March 12. We'll get to it. But I'll represent to you it
     Q.
 5
     was March 12, 2015.
 6
               This is February 24. In between those two dates.
 7
         And according to Mr. Croft, he states, "I just spoke with
 8
     Rob from Veolia, and his exact statement is that, quote" --
 9
     sorry -- "is that the city, quote, 'Does not have a water issue
     but a political issue.'"
10
11
               Do you see that, sir?
12
     Α.
          Yes.
13
          When you received this email, do you recall what you felt
14
     at that time?
15
        Yes. And --
     Α.
16
               MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection. Relevance.
17
               THE COURT: Sustained.
18
               What did you do --
19
               MR. STERN: I can ask -- I can ask it differently.
20
               THE COURT: Ask a different question, yeah.
     BY MR. STERN:
21
22
          When you received this email, did you have even more
23
     comfort that safety wasn't an issue with the water?
24
               MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection. Relevance.
```

THE COURT: No, that's relevant. So but just ask a

```
1
      direct question.
 2
               What was your response to this message?
 3
               THE WITNESS: Well, I took issue with the political
 4
      part. Because that -- for me, again, like I stated, I didn't
 5
      need political advice from Veolia. I wasn't surprised that
 6
      they found Flint politics difficult. That's pretty obvious to
 7
      those of us who have experienced it.
 8
               But then it goes on to cover the kinds of things we
 9
      had been discussing in those private meetings. And I was
10
      pleased to see that alignment around the technical
11
      recommendations.
12
               I figured this was just kind of somebody who was a
13
      little bit surprised by our politics, and I could bracket
14
      that.
15
               I really wanted to know Veolia's perspective on the
16
      technical water issues.
17
               THE COURT: Okay.
18
     BY MR. STERN:
        Does it surprise you, sitting here today, that
19
20
     Mr. Nicholas said that it was not a water problem but a
21
     political issue in light of --
22
               MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection. Relevance.
23
               MR. STERN: I didn't even finish my question.
24
               THE COURT: Yeah. Overruled.
25
     BY MR. STERN:
```

```
Q. Does it surprise you, sitting here today, that

Mr. Nicholas said that it was not a water problem but a

political issue in light of the three emails that I showed you

a few minutes ago where he indicated that lead seems to be a

problem?

A. Yes. And I don't think I exactly took it that way. I

mean, we all knew we had water problems. I think he was just
```

mean, we all knew we had water problems. I think he was just maybe taken aback by the politics.

But that's -- you know, other than the way somebody feels when they're tough -- in a really tough public environment, and maybe they feel like they don't get kind of respected or treated the way that they should, and maybe it shouldn't have gone as far in some cases in terms of the residents' frustration.

But residents had a lot of frustrations, and they had a lot of questions. So this isn't an either or. I mean, we knew we had water issues.

So, again, I certainly can't speak to Mr. Nicholas's mindset, but that's how I took it. I was focused on the technical content that followed that statement.

- Q. Can you turn -- please turn to Tab 91 in your second binder.
- **A.** 91?

- **Q.** Yes, sir.
- 25 A. Yes.

```
Q. Do you recognize this document, sir?
```

- 2 A. Yes. This is a letter that I wrote and submitted to the
- 3 director of intergovernmental affairs, the White House
- 4 Executive Office of the President.
- 5 MR. STERN: Your Honor, we seek to admit into
- 6 evidence Plaintiffs' Exhibit 0621.
- 7 MR. CHRISTIAN: No objection.
- 8 MR. ERICKSON: No objection.
- 9 THE COURT: Okay. It's received.
- 10 (Plaintiff Exhibit No. 0621 Admitted Into Evidence.)
- 11 BY MR. STERN:
- 12 Q. Mr. Walling, you'll see at the top left corner is the
- 13 | mayor logo?
- 14 A. Yes. And you can see I left off the emergency manager on
- 15 this one.
- 16 Q. Okay. This letter is from Jerry Abramson.
- Who's Jerry Abramson?
- 18 A. So Mr. Abramson was the Director of Intergovernmental
- 19 Affairs. That's one office within the executive office of the
- 20 president, President Barack Obama at the time. And this was an
- 21 office that I had had, you know, contact with as a mayor of a,
- 22 you know, important American city.
- 23 Q. At the very bottom of this letter, you state, "I welcome
- 24 | any technical assistance you are able to coordinate, including
- 25 expertise on water quality and public health, best practices

with water treatment, and improving distribution system infrastructure.

"Thank you again for the valuable partnership. look forward to working with you and federal partners as we transform Flint into a sustainable 21st century city with new jobs, safe neighborhoods, strong infrastructure, and great schools."

Do you see that?

Yes. Α.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- What was the purpose of sending this letter on February 23, 2015?
 - The purpose was to elevate this issue. So as I described before in my call with Governor Snyder, I felt that, you know, regardless of who reported to who at the city or city administrator or emergency manager, that I had a responsibility as an elected official to communicate with other elected officials as what I saw as the challenges in Flint.

This is not the first time I had interaction with this office. We had it around public safety, around economic development. So now I'm laying out -- because a letter also serves as a way to, you know, communicate so that people can refer back to it. I laid out my concerns. I laid out what was occurring in the city.

And I'm specifically in this letter asking for support from the White House and the Environmental Protection

Agency to serve on the City of Flint's Technical Advisory Water
Committee.

And that had been one committee that was set up to help us look at these different issues, that Veolia and LAN and city public work staff and others from Michigan Department of Environmental Quality, county public health.

So I was now specifically asking for someone to be assigned to work with that committee. You know, not just, "We need you to know about these issues," but there's a specific request for someone to add this to their probably already really long list of responsibilities for the Federal Government.

- 13 Q. Could you please turn to Tab 93.
- 14 A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- 15 Q. Do you recognize this document, sir?
- 16 A. Yes.
- MR. STERN: Your Honor, this was previously admitted as Plaintiffs' 0609.
- 19 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 20 BY MR. STERN:
- 21 Q. You see that this is the Veolia water quality report, sir?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Take a look at the report, if you don't mind.
- 24 You had seen this report when it was issued, correct?
- 25 A. Yes. And I had also seen a very similar draft.

```
If you can turn to -- sorry about that.
 2
               If you could turn to page 5 of the report.
 3
     Α.
          Yes.
 4
          I've got it on your screen, as well. You see this is
 5
     under -- if you look at page 4, actually, it says, "Veolia's
 6
     recommendations."
 7
               Do you see that at the very top?
 8
          Yes.
     Α.
 9
          Then if you turn to page 5, it says, "corrosion control."
     That is point 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7.
10
11
               It's the 8th bullet point under Veolia's
12
     recommendations.
13
               Do you see that?
14
     Α.
          Yes.
15
         Before we even talk about this paragraph, did you have an
     understanding during the period of time between February 4,
16
17
     2015, and the date of this report, March 12, 2015, did you
18
     understand what corrosion control was, what it was for, and why
19
     it might be used?
20
     A. I understood we had a corrosion -- we had corrosion
21
```

A. I understood we had a corrosion -- we had corrosion problems or concerns in our city water system. And that was what was contributing to the discoloration, the odor, the color, the lead that was mentioned -- I'm sorry. I just misspoke.

The iron that was mentioned before.

22

23

24

```
Q. Other than the discoloration, the color, the odor, and the iron, did anyone from Veolia when discussing corrosion with you personally ever raise the issue of lead or lead poisoning?
```

A. No.

- Q. What was the context of any conversation you may have had or been a part of when Veolia, if they did ever mention the word "corrosion"?
- A. Well, it's exactly what's here. It's way to minimize the amount of discolored water, that it won't go away, it has the number of water breaks. I mean, broken valves, hydrant flushing. Those were all in the notes that we've seen.

So those things had been discussed. So this -- you know, this was what I understood our corrosion problems to be. In this -- summarized in this paragraph.

Q. If you can turn to page 9, sir.

You see at the top of page 9, it says, "Conclusions and next steps."

- A. Yes.
 - Q. And you see box 2, which is highlighted on your screen says, "Contract with your engineer and initiate discussions with the state on the addition of a corrosion control chemical.

"This action can be submitted and with the state at the same time as the other chemical and filter changes saving time and effort. A target dosage of .5mgs per L phosphate is suggested for improved corrosion control."

Did I read that correctly.

A. Yes.

- Q. When you read this report in March of 2015, what was your
- 4 understanding as to why this recommendation was being made?
- A. Oh, I understood the corrosion issues were around, you
- 6 know, the discolored water. The old, you know, iron pipes.
- 7 Q. Anything in this report that you see now or you remember
- 8 then that ties corrosion, corrosion control issues to lead and
- 9 | lead poisoning issues?
- 10 A. I don't recall anything about lead.
- 11 Q. I mean, do you remember if the word "lead" even appears in
- 12 this report?
- 13 A. Well, I do know that it doesn't. Because -- I'm sorry.
- 14 | But I mentioned before when I was reading that email that that
- 15 was exactly where the Technical Advisory Committee ended up in
- 16 October of 2015.
- 17 And during that fall period as these concerns were
- 18 coming to light because of the independent research of Dr. Marc
- 19 | Edwards and then Dr. Mona Hanna-Attisha, I thought maybe I had
- 20 missed something in the Veolia report.
- I went back. I read it backwards. I wanted to know,
- 22 you know, where was lead in here. How did this get missed?
- 23 And it's not there. I thought, "Well, could it have somehow
- 24 | been in the draft report, like was there some -- was there
- 25 some meddling that took place?"

```
I read the draft report the same way. And lead is
 2
      not mentioned. It wasn't in my notes. Wasn't in the
 3
      PowerPoint. I had that PowerPoint slide. So, I mean, this is
 4
      a gigantic omission.
 5
               MR. CHRISTIAN: Is there a pending question, Your
 6
      Honor?
 7
               THE COURT: There was. It was whether there was lead
 8
      in the report, mentioned in the report, and he provided his
 9
      answer.
10
               MR. CHRISTIAN:
                              Okay.
11
               MR. STERN: Thank you.
12
     BY MR. STERN:
13
        Mayor Walling, could you turn to page 11, please? At the
     top of page 11, it says, "results expected."
14
15
               Do you see that, sir?
16
          I see it on the screen. Let me get to also the tab.
     Α.
17
          It's not -- it's not a tab. I'm sorry.
18
          Oh, page 11.
     Α.
19
          I apologize. I'm sure it was me.
     Q.
20
         Give me a moment to go back. I'm just thinking about
21
     these binders.
22
               Which tab is the report, please?
23
     Q.
          93.
24
     Α.
          93. Page 11?
          Yeah.
```

```
A. Okay.
```

- Q. See it says at the top, "results expected"?
- 3 A. Yes.

- 4 Q. And then down about three bullet -- in fact, three bullet
- 5 points down from "results expected," it says, "Discolored
- 6 water. The discolored water is caused by the old unlined cast
- 7 | iron pipe.
- 8 "The water from the plant can have an impact on
- 9 discolored water. But a greater concern is the breaks and
- 10 construction work that can disrupt the flow of water causing
- 11 discoloration.
- 12 "A polyphosphate is suggested to help bind the old
- 13 cast iron pipe reducing instances of discolored water. This
- 14 | along with improve flow of water and programmed hydrant
- 15 | flushing will help but will not eliminate discolored water
- 16 occurrences."
- 17 Did I read that correctly?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And does this confirm your memory about this report and
- 20 what the addition of corrosion control was that you believed to
- 21 be at the time?
- 22 A. Yes. I took what was in this report very, very seriously
- 23 that, you know, that that informed my understanding. And then
- 24 | that was the sort of thing that I would explain to citizens
- 25 when they asked me.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
You know, you want to be able to -- I mean, I
appreciated what I thought was the honesty here, that you can
make these improvements. It doesn't mean a problem's going to
totally go away.
         You know, but you want to be able to tell people what
you're working on and how it will, at least, make it better.
         So that given a lot of our underground pipes were 50,
60, 70, you know, 90 years old, that made since to me.
    You mentioned earlier in one of your answers to one of my
questions that in October of 2015, the City of Flint ultimately
switched back to the DWSD.
         Do you recall saying that?
Α.
    Yes.
    Between the report on March 12, 2015, and when the city
switched back in October 2015, what do you remember about that
period of time?
    Could you be more specific? That's --
    Sure.
0.
   We'd be here for a long time.
    Well, we've got a report -- we've got a report from Veolia
that we just looked at. You were at a public meeting with
Veolia a few weeks earlier where they said the water --
         MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection, Your Honor. He's
testifying again.
```

MR. STERN: I'm trying --

THE COURT: Well, just see if you can ask a question 2 that will sort of narrow. 3 BY MR. STERN: 4 What precipitated the change back to the drinking water? 5 THE COURT: There you go. 6 So Dr. Marc Edwards's research that he THE WITNESS: 7 was coordinating out of Virginia Tech with people, with 8 households, you know, citizens, scientists in Flint, he was 9 challenging the notion that the -- you know, that the water 10 was safe, that the water was being tested properly under the 11 Michigan Department of Environmental Quality and City of Flint 12 standards or protocols. 13 And as I was told by Dr. Mona Hanna-Attisha in our 14 meeting with the Greater Flint Health Coalition, that his 15 research prompted her as a pediatrician to then ask, "Well, if 16 this lead risk in the water is actually affecting Flint's 17 especially, you know, youngest children," you know, being a 18 pediatrician. 19 So Dr. Mark Edwards's work prompted Mona 20 Hanna-Attisha as she told me in that meeting to then spend a 21 few sleepless weeks going through the data that she had access 22 to through our public hospital, Hurley Hospital, to see what 23 the results of blood lead level testing was among, you know, 24 young children, especially those on Medicaid.

So it was her research that was presented to me and

others the end of September of 2015 that prompted me to rethink the more incremental approach that we had been taking in Flint to making, you know, this improvement and then that improvement to try to solve these problems.

So I'm sorry, but this is very important to me.

At the time we did not have an emergency manager. We had a transition advisory board that had to approve all of the city's expenditures after the city council approved all of those expenditures.

And the only way that a resolution could get to the city council at that time under an emergency manager order that remained in effect was for the city administrator to send that resolution.

So I appealed to City Administrator Natasha

Henderson, as well as Michigan Department of Treasury

officials who were ultimately supporting the transition

advisory board that the City of Flint, despite the cost, had

to go back to the Detroit Water and Sewerage District for its

at least short-term water supply.

We needed to leave the Flint River and the Flint Water Treatment Plant at that time.

And because of the sensitive nature of this issue, the high profile nature of this issue, I knew that Governor Snyder himself would be involved in this decision.

And reached out to him also directly through the

Michigan Department of Environmental Quality Director Dan
Wyant to begin discussing how we could pay what we would need
to, money we effectively didn't have but we would find
somehow, and started that conversation.

And I know that sounds complicated. But that was the reality of decisionmaking in the City of Flint. This was not something -- I couldn't go and flip the switch back, you know, by myself.

This was going to take a coordinated effort with the city administrator, the city council, the transition advisory board, which is all staffed and directed by the governor, ultimately.

And we'd have to find what I believe we determined in negotiations with Detroit would be \$9 million. The city contributed to that. The state legislature -- so the approved funds. Because the governor also can't spend that kind of money by himself.

And the CS Mott Foundation was a part of those negotiations.

And I'll just say this, because I mentioned this before. The one provision that Governor Snyder had was that the Technical Advisory Committee needed to meet and needed to affirm that direction.

That, yes, myself, the city administrator, the city council, we were, you know, significant voices in this. But

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
the governor said in order for the support to be there,
effectively meaning for the transition advisory board to
legally permit the city to spend this money as it was being
negotiated to be available, that the Technical Advisory
Committee would need to meet.
         It would need to affirm this direction of switching
to Detroit. And that is what occurred in October.
         And before I left office, I was defeated in what
would have been my second reelection there in early November,
I was able to announce that Detroit water was back and flowing
and through the city's -- beginning to go through the city's
distribution system.
   You just mentioned a lot of things that had to happen
between the time the decision was made to go back to DWSD and
when that actually happened.
         If someone would have suggested to the jury at any
point in time that it took one day to switch back to the DWSD,
would that be accurate?
         MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection, Your Honor.
         THE COURT: Just did it -- would it have taken just
one day?
         THE WITNESS: It took a few weeks. We did both the
```

formal decisionmaking, including the resolutions to take those actions.

And there was a technical process which I was, you

```
know, I was updated on. I couldn't tell you the details, but
2
     I believe that took even itself, you know, approximately a
3
    week or so.
```

So it was a very, very collaborative effort between the City of Flint, the Genesee County Drain Commissioner's Office and the Detroit Water and Sewerage District.

Very, very effective collaborative process over what I think was about a week. Because that transmission line had not been -- at least a portion of that transmission line had not been used for a period of time.

So it was going to have to be tested in and of itself before it could be released into the -- you know, the public distribution system.

BY MR. STERN:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

If you would please turn to Tab 74.

Do you recognize this document, sir?

Yes. These are my notes that I was making for myself in preparing to go on a weekly radio show, a Saturday morning radio show on the local channel WFLT, a faith-based Christian radio show that did quite a bit of kind of public and community affairs shows, as well.

MR. STERN: Your Honor, plaintiffs seek to admit into evidence Exhibit 602-43X.

MR. CHRISTIAN: No objection.

MR. ERICKSON: No objection.

```
THE COURT: Okay. It's received.
 1
 2
        (Plaintiff Exhibit No. 602-43X Admitted Into Evidence.)
 3
     BY MR. STERN:
 4
        Mayor Walling, I've made a habit of reading your notes to
 5
     you. In this instance, it's a little bit difficult for me to
 6
     read these notes.
 7
               Would you mind reading to the jury what you noted
 8
     here?
 9
               MR. CHRISTIAN: Your Honor, may I ask -- just want to
      clarify the timeframe before -- the time of the notes, the
10
11
      date of the notes.
12
               THE COURT: Yeah, I'm not clear exactly when the
13
      notes were created.
14
               Do you know?
15
               THE WITNESS: This was on the latter half of October
16
      2015.
               THE COURT: Okay. So even though it says 9-6 to
17
18
      7-15, is -- that might be a -- I don't know what that is.
19
               THE WITNESS: That was something -- that was
20
      something else. You can see this is -- these are really,
21
      like, personal notes in my pocket. So I've got things going
22
      some different ways. This is not a meeting.
23
               THE COURT: But your testimony is this was October
      2015?
24
25
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
```

```
THE COURT: Does that satisfy you, Mr. Christian?
 1
 2
               MR. CHRISTIAN: Yes. Thank you.
 3
               THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. So he's reading them
     to himself.
 4
 5
     BY MR. STERN:
 6
        It's -- I'm going to read it. I'm going to read it, and
 7
     you tell me if I read it correctly.
 8
               THE COURT: I think he --
 9
               THE WITNESS: Yeah, I was just still -- are we
10
     proceeding?
11
               MR. STERN: Yes, please.
12
               THE COURT: Do you want to read it out loud, or do
13
     you want to read it to yourself?
14
               THE WITNESS: I can read it out loud.
15
               THE COURT: Okay.
16
               MR. STERN: The only reason I ask is it's very
17
     difficult to read, and just putting it up -- I'd like for him
18
     to be able to tell the jury what it says.
19
               THE COURT: Yeah, me, too.
20
               THE WITNESS: So, again, so these are my notes going
21
      into a radio show pretty widely listened to in the Flint
22
      community. And so it's for a Saturday morning.
23
               And I think you're not surprised -- it's not so much
24
     kind of pertinent here. But the politics around this and
25
      people blaming different people is occurring. That's what
```

happens when there's a great deal of frustration.

I was in the mayor's seat. I was running for reelection. And maybe you'll agree with me that there are some criticisms of people that were making of me that I would have taken as fair.

And there were probably some criticisms that people were making of me that I thought were unfair or mischaracterizing, you know, my life, my choices, the decisions I made, and why I made them.

So this was coming at the tail end of that campaign.

I very much want to serve another term as mayor. I want to be able to see, you know, this work get done. And I want to continue to be a part of it to help fix these problems.

So this is what I was writing to myself and very similar then to what I said that morning.

"It hurts me, a man of compassion, not putting people's lives at risk but working hard with people who can get it fixed. The Flint River, lead, costs. I won't turn my head. For those who have lived in Flint, we have an old infrastructure. Need to correct it.

"Poisoning people and taking life , it hurts me to think some would think that and depict me. It is so removed from me as a man, a father, a Christian, and a mayor."

And then those other notes were just kind of things I had made for the conversation. This was like my opening to

that show that I knew would then cover, you know, these topics and more.

3 BY MR. STERN:

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4 Q. And who's Donna, sir?

those positions.

- A. Donna Poplar was a friend, an advisor. She had also served as the city's director of human resources during that first term when I had the authority to appoint individuals to
 - Q. And when it says, "Donna Comes a time when you have to take off mayor hat and put on human hat, that is what will get you through."

Were those notes what you made because you thought that, or were those your notes that you made because Donna said that?

A. Well, Donna did say them. But I wrote them down. I mean, she probably told me 15 things. But this was the one that I felt like, "Well, that really puts a finger on it. That's where the public is at."

And you know -- you know, I lost that second reelection. Dr. -- Mayor Dr. Karen Weaver carried that torch in her vocal advocacy, the kind of position she staked out in the campaign, you know, were what ultimately a majority of Flint voters gravitated towards.

But this was -- you know, my thinking. This was who I was. This was how I had tried to lead. And I wanted to put

- that case before the Flint public as they were making this decision about who the next mayor was going to be.
 - Q. Mayor Walling, I'm going to conclude with this.

Do you know that as part of this case that Veolia and LAN are blaming you personally --

MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection, Your Honor. This is argument and testimony.

THE COURT: Sustained.

- BY MR. STERN:
- 10 Q. Mayor Walling, let me ask you this.

You are the former mayor of the City of Flint, and you were the chairman of the KWA board, right?

13 A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. You were in office from August 6, 2009, through November 3, 2015, correct?
- A. A couple of days after November 3. In Flint, a regular election, you get elected on a Tuesday. And the next mayor
- 18 comes in the following Monday. So just a couple more days.
- Q. What would you -- how would you react if someone claimed that you were a strong proponent of the KWA and chairperson of the KWA for your own personal political gain?
- 22 A. I would dispute that. I worked very hard to make
- 23 decisions I thought were in the best interest of the Flint
- community. There often comes a time as mayor where you do --
- 25 you pick one side or the other.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You say, "I'm supporting this approach," or "I'm not supporting this approach." I supported the KWA, you know, after those analyses. I still think the KWA was a good project. The rest of the county is using that water -- using that water today. What would you say if someone said that, "Prior to the change in source of drinking water from Lake Huron, Mayor Walling was aware of the potential dangers of using the Flint River as an interim water source"? I think it's fair that I knew there were concerns and issues. That's why there was a process. I mean, it was months of work that was undertaken. And my understanding from director of public works, from the emergency manager at the time, that the city's water at the treatment plant was meeting all the standards. And, in fact, it would not be allowed to be distributed by the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality until those standards were met. That's why I said what I said at the time. I mean, the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality is not just regulating the water in Flint. They're regulating the water across the whole state through these municipal systems. They're regulating the Detroit Water and Sewerage District.

So I -- yeah, of course, there were concerns, and

there were issues. That's why there was a process. But the water doesn't get turned on until it's met those standards.

And then subsequent to that, we've spent this time talking about the different issues that were identified, the different steps that were taken.

And I tried my best at whatever authority or influence or opportunity I had to try to advocate for what I understood would be the best solution at those -- at those different times.

I mean, that's why I ultimately said to the city administrative before a meeting with treasury officials. We did a weekly, like, call on key issues. I said, "We need to go in there and make the case in October of 2015 to switch back to Detroit."

And that was a major difference in what I had been saying, what I had been working on for those prior months.

But that was my -- that was my conviction at that time.

I didn't know, frankly, if it was going to work. I didn't know who would support that and who wouldn't. But I just felt like, yeah, look at what we know. This incremental approach is taking too long. It's probably been tried for too long.

I mean, I very much, you know, practically every day I think about what I could have done differently, you know.

What if I had personally sat down with LeeAnne

```
Walters? Would I have somehow figured something out?
are these points. You've shown me emails that I haven't seen.
Some of those, I believe, came up in my deposition.
         So I ask myself that every day. So, I mean, yeah, if
somebody wants to say did I know there were concerns or
issues? Yeah, I sure did.
                            That's what I -- that's what I was
working on. And that's what I continued to reflect on and try
to learn from practically every day since.
    And it was safety of the water that ultimately led to you
that conclusion, sir?
          It was the knowledge that we had children in Flint
who had lead poisoning. And that was discovered by a
pediatrician who did research that was prompted by someone, by
doctor and engineer who was concerned about lead in the water.
         So, I mean, none of this happened immediately. You
know, Dr. Mona Hanna-Attisha will tell you she wanted me to
```

know, Dr. Mona Hanna-Attisha will tell you she wanted me to walk out of that first meeting committed to switching back to Detroit.

MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection, Your Honor. I believe we have some hearsay here.

THE COURT: Okay. Sustained.

BY MR. STERN:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. One last question, Mr. Mayor.

If it was safety in September, October 2014 that led you to the conclusion to switch back to Detroit, do you believe

```
you would have come to the same conclusion in February or March
 2
     of 2015 if you believed that there was a risk to safety of the
 3
     community in Flint?
 4
               MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection, Your Honor.
 5
               THE COURT: That's overruled.
 6
               THE WITNESS: Yes. I know I would have taken it very
 7
      seriously. I've gone through these complexities in
 8
     decisionmaking. Because that was the reality of being a mayor
 9
     at this time, a city in this situation.
10
               So there would have been a consideration process.
11
     But I can quarantee you that if there was lead in the Veolia
12
      report, it would have made a difference. So many other things
     on that list made a difference.
13
               We didn't talk about the carbon filters. That was
14
15
     more than a million dollar capital investment. It was on that
16
     list, because that was related to public health and TTHMs. So
17
      it would have made a difference. It certainly would have
     taken different steps.
18
19
               But that Technical Advisory Committee could have had
20
     that discussion in March and not in October.
21
               MR. STERN: Your Honor, this would be a good time for
22
     a break, and I'll review my notes and determine if there's
23
     anything else.
24
               THE COURT: Okay. All right. Good. Well, we'll
```

take about a 15-minute break. And thank you. I just lost my

```
mask altogether. That's bad. I've got another one.
 2
      please rise for the jury.
 3
                                (Jury Out)
 4
               THE COURT: Please be seated.
 5
               Oh, you can step down, Mr. Walling, and take a break.
 6
      And then there will be either a few more questions or
 7
      cross-examination after the break.
 8
               I just wanted to draw your attention to two exhibits
 9
      that I think we need to resolve whether they're admitted.
      Plaintiffs' Exhibit 460.
10
11
               Mr. Campbell had said you wanted to review some
12
      attachments and determine if those should be excluded or
      admitted.
13
               MR. CAMPBELL: Yes, Your Honor. I had asked that it
14
15
      be reviewed. If it was, I forgot to pick it up this morning.
16
      And what the issue is, it's a list of capital projects or
17
      ongoing projects that I think VNA had.
18
               And it was the exhibit that had the prediction of a
      million dollars of revenue. But there's all kinds of other --
19
20
      so I will -- I'll get to that.
21
               THE COURT: Okay.
22
               MR. CAMPBELL: I'm sorry. I should have done it
23
      before.
24
               MR. STERN: If you don't mind, which exhibit number
25
      is that?
```

```
1
               THE COURT:
                          460.
 2
                          Thank you. Sorry.
               MR. STERN:
 3
               THE COURT: And then I think that, Mr. Stern, you did
      not move for the admission of Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3618. And I
 4
 5
      don't actually recall what it was. Oh, it was the photo.
 6
               MR. MAIMON: Those were the photos.
 7
               THE COURT:
                          The photos, that's right.
               MR. STERN: I seek to admit them now.
 8
 9
               THE COURT: Mr. Christian?
10
               MR. CHRISTIAN: Just one question I want to ask is.
11
      The source of the photos, Your Honor, if we might know.
12
               MR. STERN: Well, he --
13
              MR. CHRISTIAN: I just asked the source of the
14
      photos.
15
               THE COURT: Can you take your -- I'm having a hard
16
      time.
             There you go.
17
               MR. CHRISTIAN: The source of the photos, Your Honor.
18
               THE COURT:
                          Got it. Okay.
19
               MR. STERN: I believe the source of those photos were
20
      from an MLive article. They were included in our original
21
      pretrial order.
22
               THE COURT: Yes.
23
               MR. STERN: So there's no objections that are
24
      possible now as to foundation.
25
               And Mr. Walling identified everybody in the photos
```

```
including himself.
 2
              MR. CHRISTIAN: I just needed the answer to where
 3
      they were from.
               THE COURT: That would be the answer.
 4
 5
               MR. CHRISTIAN: No objection, Your Honor.
 6
               THE COURT: Okay. Terrific.
 7
               Mr. Erickson.
               MR. ERICKSON: Your Honor, I guess we would -- we
 8
 9
      don't object. But we would reserve the right to admit the
10
      MLive article that they came from.
11
               THE COURT: Okay.
12
               MR. STERN: Happy -- happy --
               MR. MAIMON: We'll take a look at it and see if it's
13
14
      admissible.
15
               THE COURT: We can't hear you.
               MR. STERN: We'll take a look to determine its
16
17
      admissibility. But that's fine if there was --
18
               THE COURT: Okay. But for now, the 3618, the photos
19
      are admitted.
20
        (Plaintiff Exhibit No. 3618 Admitted Into Evidence.)
21
               THE COURT: And we'll wait to hear back from VNA on
22
      Plaintiffs' Exhibit 460 and whether there are attachments that
23
      aren't relevant to our case.
               MR. STERN: I have one other exhibit issue, Judge.
24
25
               THE COURT: Oh, what is that?
```

```
MR. STERN: When it came to Tab 93, which was the VNA
 2
      report, I had stated that it was previously admitted as
 3
      Exhibit 609, but it was actually previously admitted as 46.
 4
               THE COURT: Okay. Any contradiction to that in your
 5
      records? Mr. Christian?
               MR. CHRISTIAN: We'll check. We can check during the
 6
 7
      break and come back to you.
 8
               MR. STERN: It was certainly admitted. I just think
 9
      it was under 46.
10
               THE COURT: Okay. Good. All right. Then we'll take
11
      a break.
12
               UNIDENTIFIED PERSON: 15 minutes from now, Your
13
      Honor?
               THE COURT: Yes. Thank you for clarifying that.
14
15
                               (Brief Recess)
               THE COURT: All right. Well, we'll get the jury.
16
17
               So the two issues I have that we'll need to discuss
18
      later today is I think counsel was going to talk about the
19
      schedule going forward in our case.
20
               Is there anything to report there?
21
               MR. STERN: I think we were just -- there's a few
22
      dates that we would need off based on personal commitments.
23
      And I know Your Honor has previously mentioned we should
24
      discuss that.
25
               THE COURT: Yeah.
```

```
MR. STERN: So it wasn't in the realm of what
 1
 2
      Mr. Mason had talked about last week.
 3
               THE COURT: No, just generally.
 4
               MR. STERN: I'm not sure -- Mr. Maimon normally
 5
      communicates directly with the other side. So I'm not sure if
 6
      those conversations occurred.
 7
               THE COURT: We'll find out later. And then the issue
 8
      of the video testimony.
 9
               Mr. Walling, are the city council meetings back in
10
      person now?
11
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
12
               THE COURT: Oh, good. Are they there? There we go.
13
               THE CLERK: All rise for the jury.
14
                                 (Jury In)
15
               THE COURT: Okay. Welcome back to the jury.
16
               Please be seated.
17
               And, Mr. Stern, have you completed your examination?
18
               MR. STERN: Mayor Walling, thank you for your time.
19
               And, Your Honor, I pass the witness.
20
               THE COURT: Okay. Now, what we'll do is go to VNA's
21
      opportunity to cross-examine this witness.
22
               MR. CHRISTIAN: And we're just going to distribute
23
      some exhibits, Your Honor, for the parties and for Your Honor.
24
               THE COURT: Sure.
25
               MR. STERN: I'm fine. Thank you.
```

```
THE COURT: Did you hit your head?
 1
 2
               MR. STERN: They just hit me in the head with the
 3
      box.
 4
               MR. MAIMON: He'll be okay.
 5
               MR. STERN: I'm fine.
 6
               MR. MAIMON: That, you should strike.
 7
               MR. CHRISTIAN: May I approach the witness, Your
 8
      Honor, and the bench?
 9
               THE WITNESS: Counsel, might I also need these?
10
               MR. CHRISTIAN: Not initially.
11
               THE WITNESS: Okay.
12
               THE COURT: Can -- Mr. Christian, can you take
13
      those --
               MR. STERN: I'll take the two that we --
14
15
               THE WITNESS: That would be helpful. It's a lot to
16
      manage up here.
17
               MR. STERN: Your Honor, would it be okay here if I
18
      left them here for redirect?
19
               THE COURT: Oh, sure.
20
               MR. CHRISTIAN: So first, may I proceed, Your Honor?
21
               THE COURT: Yes.
22
                            CROSS-EXAMINATION
23
     BY MR. CHRISTIAN:
24
         So good morning, Mr. Walling.
25
               So first of all, I'd just like to -- you have a few
```

- titles that I can call you by. It could be Mr. Walling, Mayor
 Walling, or Professor Walling.
- 3 Is there one that you prefer?
- 4 A. I actually would prefer simply Mr. Walling. I, of course,
- 5 did hold that position of mayor. But we -- you know, we have a
- 6 mayor in Flint right now. So Mr. Walling is fine.
- 7 | Q. Okay. And one of the things I'd like to just begin with
- 8 | is that stating for the record, I believe we belong to a
- 9 networking organization called the Association of American
- 10 Rhodes Scholars?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And just want to make clear that we haven't met before in
- 13 the course of any of their --
- 14 MR. STERN: Objection.
- 15 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 16 BY MR. CHRISTIAN:
- 17 | Q. Have we ever met before, Mr. Walling?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. And before this trial and taking the witness stand, did
- 20 you meet with Mr. Stern or Mr. Maimon?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 | O. Okay. And what did you discuss during that meeting?
- 23 A. We discussed a few of the documents that I had seen during
- 24 \parallel my deposition. A few photos that were in the PowerPoint slide.
- 25 Q. Okay. How many times did you meet?

```
I believe we met on three occasions.
     Α.
 2
          And for how much time in total?
 3
          In total? Probably -- probably about four hours.
     Α.
 4
          And and could you tell us when you met?
     Q.
 5
          Over the last approximately 10 days, I believe.
 6
          All right. And so I'd like to turn to a different topic
 7
     now.
 8
               Mr. Maimon and Mr. Stern sued you for your role in
 9
      the Flint Water Crisis; is that correct?
10
               MR. STERN:
                          Objection.
11
               THE COURT: Overruled. If he knows.
12
               MR. STERN: I haven't sued anybody.
13
               THE COURT: Right. Okay. That's true.
                                                        So --
14
     BY MR. CHRISTIAN:
15
        Were you sued by the plaintiffs in this litigation,
16
     Mr. Walling?
17
          I know that I was a plaintiff in the initial filings of a
18
     number of different lawsuits. I don't think I knew from the
19
     city attorney's office if this particular case, I was a
20
     plaintiff or not.
21
     Q.
        Okay. So --
22
               THE COURT: A defendant.
               THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, yes. The plaintiffs, right,
23
24
      filing.
               If I was a defendant.
```

Thank you, Judge.

```
BY MR. CHRISTIAN:
```

7

8

9

10

Q. So one of the things I'll just -- we're going to be asking a lot of questions. And sometimes I'll ask questions that are

yes or no, and sometimes it will be short answer or essay.

- And based on your academic credential, I'll assume you're good at answering questions like that?
 - MR. STERN: Objection.
 - THE COURT: Just ask questions, and we'll see how it goes. If there's a problem, you'll appeal to me, and I'll direct the witness.
- 11 MR. CHRISTIAN: Okay, Your Honor.
- 12 BY MR. CHRISTIAN:
- Q. So I'd like to direct you to Tab 39 of your book. And that's VNA Exhibit 3102. Please let me know when you have it.
- 15 A. Yes, I have Tab 39. Looks like one page.
- Q. Okay. And does this -- does this -- there's a second page, if you flip over to the backside of that page.
- And do you see your name anywhere on that page? At the top left-hand corner?
- A. Yes, I do. I was seeing if there was more than one. Yes.

 Defendant Dayne Walling.
- Q. And do you recall this as being part of the plaintiffs' lawsuit against you in this case?
- 24 MR. STERN: Objection, Judge.
- 25 THE COURT: What is your objection? Relevance?

```
MR. STERN: It's three-fold. Number one, the
 2
      document's incomplete --
 3
               THE COURT: And also there's a motion in limine
 4
      regarding a lot of this. So I think you should move on from
      this exhibit.
 5
 6
               MR. CHRISTIAN: And just for the record, we object,
 7
      Your Honor.
 8
               MR. STERN: You're objecting to --
 9
               MR. CHRISTIAN: Just for the record.
10
               THE COURT: You're objecting to my ruling?
11
               MR. CHRISTIAN: Yes, Your Honor.
12
               THE COURT: That's the nature of -- you know,
      sustained.
13
14
     BY MR. CHRISTIAN:
         So, Mr. Walling, during your direct testimony with
15
     Mr. Stern, he asked you a lot of questions about Flint history.
16
               Do you recall that?
17
18
     Α.
          Yes.
19
         And he asked you about where your water as a Flint
20
     resident came from -- or Flint residence water came from
21
     between 1974 and 2014; is that correct?
22
          Yeah. Approximately --
23
          Your lifetime?
24
          Approximately those dates. My lifetime, yes.
          And you answered that it came from Detroit?
```

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. And that Flint Water Treatment Plant was a backup?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. Are you aware that at a certain point before Flint started
- 5 getting its water from the DWSD that the Flint River was a
- 6 source of water?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. You testified to that?
- 9 A. Yes. The location of the plant being at that river bank.
- 10 Q. Right. So I'd like to ask you to turn to tab 57.
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. It is -- do you recognize this document at all?
- 13 A. It looks familiar.
- 14 Q. Okay. So -- and during the course of your time as mayor
- of Flint, you've come across a lot of documents related to
- 16 | Flint, correct?
- 17 | A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. And I believe you testified that you're sort of a history
- 19 buff of Flint, as well?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. So is it fair to say that this document may have -- you
- 22 may have come across it, you know, either in your historical
- 23 studies or as the mayor of Flint?
- 24 A. It looks -- it looks familiar. I can't recall a specific
- 25 time when I reviewed this document.

```
1 Q. Okay. Would you turn to page 5 of the document, please?
```

- A. Yes. And is the page number of the half page of the
- 3 original 5?
- 4 Q. Yes.

- 5 A. Okay.
- 6 Q. And you see this talks about -- well, actually, I just
- 7 want to make sure I'm giving you -- okay. Okay. So, actually,
- 8 let's turn to page 10 on the half page.
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. So without reading this document after you get a chance
- 11 to, you know, familiarize yourself with it a little bit, this
- 12 | is talking about where the water from the Flint River comes
- 13 from, correct?
- MR. STERN: Objection. Hearsay. And I asked him
- some questions about chemicals, and they were objected to.
- 16 THE COURT: Can you tell me where you're going with
- 17 this document?
- MR. CHRISTIAN: Your Honor, it's getting to the idea
- 19 \parallel that the Flint River has been used in the past.
- 20 THE COURT: Oh. Well, he's testified to that.
- 21 MR. CHRISTIAN: Yes.
- 22 BY MR. CHRISTIAN:
- 23 Q. And your parents are from Flint? Or grew up in Flint?
- 24 A. Yes. My mother was born in Flint. She's turning 75 this
- 25 summer. And my late father came with his family to Flint in --

```
when he was approximately elementary school, yes.
 2
          So is it fair to say that they were in Flint before the
     switch from Flint to DWSD?
 3
 4
     Α.
        Yes.
 5
        And I'm not trying to date you in terms of your age, but
 6
     you remember a time when people didn't buy water in bottles
 7
     from the store? In your lifetime?
 8
               MR. STERN: Objection. Relevance.
 9
               THE COURT: Yeah. Sustained.
10
               THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry, Judge.
11
               THE COURT: Let me just ask you --
12
               MR. CHRISTIAN: We were --
13
               THE COURT: Mr. Christian, hold on.
14
               MR. CHRISTIAN: Yes.
15
               THE COURT: This book, the date seems to start in
16
      1955 -- stop in 19 -- so this is a book from the 50's?
17
               MR. CHRISTIAN: Yes, Your Honor. And actually, it's
18
      because it's an ancient document more than 20 years old, it's
19
      actually admissible.
20
               THE COURT: I'm not worried about that. I think we
21
      should move on.
22
               Do you want it admitted? I just am trying to --
23
               MR. CHRISTIAN: Yes, I will move to admit it, Your
24
      Honor.
25
               THE COURT: Okay.
```

```
MR. CHRISTIAN: I move to admit VNA 4894 into
 2
      evidence.
 3
               MR. STERN: Objection. Hearsay.
 4
               THE COURT: I'll go ahead and accept it.
 5
               But -- and could you just describe it for the jury?
 6
        (Plaintiff Exhibit No. 4894 Admitted Into Evidence.)
 7
               MR. CHRISTIAN: It is a document entitled, "The Water
      Supply of Flint, Michigan."
 8
 9
               THE COURT: Okay.
               MR. CHRISTIAN: And it also says, "City of Flint
10
11
      Division of Water Supply, a Municipally Owned Corporation."
12
               THE COURT: Okay. And it's a book printed in the
      '50s?
13
14
              MR. CHRISTIAN: Yes.
15
               MR. STERN: Did he say yes?
16
               MR. CHRISTIAN: It says 1955.
17
               THE COURT: Well, I don't know. I just saw that it
18
      stopped the history at '55. So I thought, "Well, maybe it was
19
      after that."
20
               MR. CHRISTIAN: So after 1955, Your Honor.
21
               MR. STERN: I mean, Judge, we'll stipulate that prior
22
      to 1955 --
23
               THE COURT:
                          Right.
24
               MR. STERN: -- the Flint River was used as a water
25
      source, and he testified to that.
```

```
1
               THE COURT: I think we can just do that. So we've
 2
      all agreed. I think everybody is aware that the Flint River
 3
     was a water source in the '60s. It switched to the Detroit
 4
     Water and Sewerage Department supply. And then in 2014, back
 5
     to the Flint River.
 6
               MR. CHRISTIAN: Okay. And so this has been admitted
 7
     into evidence, Your Honor?
                          Sure.
 8
               THE COURT:
 9
               MR. STERN: We object.
10
               THE COURT: Yeah. It's just -- but we're going to
11
     move on from it.
12
               MR. CHRISTIAN: Right. So there -- on a different
13
     topic within this, Your Honor, there is a page that talks
      about decisions with respect to water sources.
14
15
               THE COURT: In some point in the '50s?
16
               MR. CHRISTIAN: At the point in time of this
17
     document, Your Honor.
18
               THE COURT: Before our witness was born. Okay.
19
               MR. STERN: Your Honor, just for the sake of clarity
20
      in the record, the only date that I see on this document is on
21
     page 25. And it says, "Finished in 1955."
22
               THE COURT:
                          Right.
23
               MR. STERN:
                          There's no date --
24
               MR. CHRISTIAN: Your Honor --
25
               THE COURT: Just let him finish.
```

```
1
               MR. CHRISTIAN:
                               This has been admitted into evidence,
 2
      correct?
 3
               THE COURT: Yes. But I think we need to move on.
 4
     Because we're not going to really go back --
 5
               MR. CHRISTIAN: Your Honor, may I ask you --
 6
               THE COURT: Stop. Stop talking. We'll get to know
 7
      one another. But if I start talking, you'll have to stop
 8
     talking.
 9
               And so we need to move on. Because we know that this
      is from the '50s and so on. We don't know the title of the
10
11
     book. We don't know who authored it. We don't know anything
12
      about it. But still -- yet and still, it's an ancient
     document. So here it is.
13
14
               So let's move on to your next area, I think.
15
               MR. CHRISTIAN: And my next area is in this document.
     So I'd ask if Your Honor preview page 22, and actually half
16
17
     page 22 in the top left-hand corner. And if the witness
18
     would --
19
               THE COURT: Just a minute. Okay.
20
              MR. CHRISTIAN: May I publish that portion, Your
21
     Honor?
22
               THE COURT: Go ahead.
23
               MR. STERN: Objection. This is hearsay within
24
     hearsay.
25
               MR. CHRISTIAN: One it's admitted, is it hearsay,
```

```
Your Honor?
 1
 2
               THE COURT: No. It can still be hearsay. But your
 3
      objection is noted. And I'll permit it.
 4
               MR. STERN: Okav.
 5
     BY MR. CHRISTIAN:
 6
          Okay. So would you take a look at page 22, Mr. Walling?
 7
     Α.
         Yes.
        And the top left-hand corner, I'm going to read it, and
 8
 9
     I'll ask you if I read it correctly.
               It says, "The question is often asked, 'Why did not
10
11
      the city go to the Lake Huron for its new water supply instead
      of building the dam and reservoir on the Flint River?'"
12
13
               Did I read that correctly?
        I believe it's "raw water."
14
     Α.
15
     Q. "Raw water." Thank you.
16
               So assuming that this, given the date of this
17
     document before your time as mayor, the question about where to
18
     get water for Flint River was something that people asked
     about, based on this document?
19
20
     Α.
        Yes.
21
          Okay. Now, we've already established that you did testify
22
     about the history of Flint. And that your parents spent some
23
     time growing up in Flint?
24
     Α.
         Yes.
```

And based on that knowledge, are you aware of any lead

```
crisis during the pre-DWSD days back in the '50s and '60s in
 2
     Flint?
 3
               MR. STERN: Objection. Relevance.
 4
               THE COURT: Overruled.
 5
               THE WITNESS: I'm -- I'm not. I understand in
 6
      general, you know, concerns across the country with lead
 7
      paint, lead in soils from especially when lead was in
 8
      gasoline, before that was banned. That's why it all says
 9
      "unleaded" now at the pump. So I'm familiar with that in
10
      general.
11
               I'm not recalling anything specific about Flint.
12
     BY MR. CHRISTIAN:
13
        Okay. And so you said you're familiar with issues with
14
     lead.
15
               Did those predate your time as mayor, your knowledge
     of issues arising with respect to lead?
16
17
     Α.
        Yes.
18
          So I'd now like to direct your attention to your time as
     mayor. And I know you've gone over it a bit with Mr. Stern, so
19
20
     I'm not going to belabor it. But I just want to ask a few
21
     questions to make sure I'm clear about some of the answers.
22
               So first of all, you were elected in 2009; is that
23
     correct?
24
     Α.
         Yes.
25
          And who was the governor at that point in time?
```

```
A. The governor was Governor Jennifer Granholm.
```

- Q. And then what powers -- I want to make clear what powers you held as governor -- excuse me -- as mayor. I'm sorry to promote you there -- in 2009 before your reelection?
- A. Right. What powers I held under -- so this would be under the city's charter.
- O. Yes.

A. So the city adopted a charter in actually 1974, so same year that I was born. It has subsequently been changed. So if anyone takes a look at this, you'll see it was -- we had a charter commission that changed the charter.

But I operated under the 1974 city charter. It was what's commonly described as a strong mayor system. So I was a full-time chief executive for the City of Flint Municipal Corporation.

It delineates strong responsibilities for our city council, as well. I've sometimes described it to people as a strong mayor-strong council system.

So as mayor, I could appoint a number of -- a handful of executive staff who did not have to be approved by the city council. That would be, for instance, a director of human resources. Like I mentioned to Ms. Donna Poplar earlier.

That would be an appointment I could make to that position.

Then there were a handful of positions that were

called department heads. And those were positions that had to be confirmed by a majority vote of the nine-member city council.

So that would like a director of public works, director of planning, public safety. So a chief of police. A chief of the fire department.

You know, the titles could change a bit, but the charter laid out those general authorities. So the mayor has, first and foremost, that ability to create a management team for the city. The mayor has a responsibility to submit a budget to city council each year, each spring, because we have the July 1 to June 30 fiscal year.

There's some responsibilities related to that budget.

There are responsibilities then to spending that the city

council has to approve.

So at the time that I was in my first term, I believe it was any expenditure over \$2,000 had to be approved individually by the city council. That's what I meant by a strong council.

Because when you're trying to run \$170 million operation and you have to go to a nine-member body for any expenditure over, like, \$2,000, you're really jointly governing.

So there were nine city council members and myself to provide for a state of the city address.

Those would be the main items. There probably are some others in the charter that relate to, you know, again, swearing into office, when a new mayor comes in, what happens when the position's vacant.

Those things would be related to the office of the mayor, as well.

Q. Thank you.

So and there came a time when you were reelected in November of 2011?

A. Yes.

- Q. And you testified about what that day was like in terms of getting a call. And then the governor making a statement with respect to emergency manager?
 - A. Yes. And the financial -- the statement of financial emergency that then would allow him under the law to appoint an emergency manager.
- Q. And just for the record, so -- and then at that point in time, when did that take effect?
 - A. The financial emergency, I believe we received correspondence maybe even that same day. The first emergency manager, Mr. Mike Brown, was appointed approximately a month later in early December.

So for that month, because we had no directive, the city council and I continued to operate under normal charter provisions.

- Q. And so when you were mayor between 2009 and your reelection -- and I know -- I hate to ask this question.
- What was your compensation of mayor at that point in time?
- A. It was just over a hundred thousand. Maybe 102, 103,000.

 Somewhere right in there.
- Q. Okay. And once Mr. Brown was appointed emergency manager, what happened to your compensation?
 - A. So one of his initial orders was to remove authority and compensation from myself and city council. So for a period of a month or so, I had no compensation from the city.
 - The city attorney believed in terms of compensation it was, you know, it was like a separation, because it zeroed out that compensation.
 - I still came into the office each day. I met with Mr. Brown and others. And -- but I did not receive any compensation for that time period.
- Q. And a moment ago, you were testifying about the different powers you had as mayor through the charter?
- 20 A. Yes.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- Q. When Mr. Brown became emergency manager, what happened to those powers?
- A. Those powers transferred by the appointment of the
 governor to an emergency manager. The broad provision in the
 law says the emergency manager shall act as the mayor and the

council. Something to that effect.

When Mr. Brown restored a portion of my compensation, that four or five weeks later, that was accompanied by an order that listed a set of responsibilities that I was then supposed to, you know, focus on as mayor.

- Q. Okay. So four or five weeks later when Mr. Brown reinstated part of your compensation, how much did he reinstate?
- A. It was approximately 50-some thousand.
- Q. Okay. And tell us about the responsibilities that he reinstated at that time.
 - A. So my responsibilities were to citizen services. So I continued my -- I continued my weekly open office hours, 10:00 to noon on every Wednesday.

And there were also people who just sent regular letters or emails or called the office. So I continued to work on citizen services.

I would receive those and then distribute them to various staff or bring it to the attention of the emergency manager.

I was authorized to continue to serve on a number of boards. So there are a number of organizations in the city like the city's Downtown Development Authority. Its by-laws say the mayor shall be the chairperson.

Mr. Brown could have assumed that authority under the

state law in this order. And we could look at it. But he detailed those boards that I would continue to serve on.

Karegnondi Water Authority, the Downtown Development
Authority, the Economic Development Corporation for the City
of Flint. The Flint and Genesee Chamber of Commerce Operating
Board. There were some others.

It's a major -- major responsibility of the office was to coordinate with those different entities. So I continued in that capacity. I was, through those boards and my own interest, I was very involved in various economic development efforts.

I had a Friday morning weekly jobs meeting in my office where I met with the representative of the Flint and Genesee Chamber of Commerce. Possibly a staff from the Michigan Economic Development Corporation.

Brought in different city staff. So I continued to have that weekly jobs meeting.

You know, there could come a time where the city was needing to do something officially that would require some other people's actions. But citizen services, boards, economic development, and then also planning.

I mentioned my work over the years with the city's long-term comprehensive and master plan. So I had been a very kind of vocal advocate, even within office, for the city starting this comprehensive master planning process,

rebuilding a planning department at the city.

We were probably about two-thirds of the way through a process to hire a chief planning officer, I believe. And Mr. Brown had me continue in that role of facilitating that process.

We had a major federal grant from one of

President Obama's on stainability communities that we were

beginning to implement.

So those were -- I apologize if that was too much detail. But that's what my responsibilities were. I took them very seriously.

Q. And just to be clear.

With respect to the economic development efforts or any of these roles, you were not allowed to sign any contracts; is that correct?

A. Yeah. I would not have been in position to sign contracts. That was a different process, yeah. Most of this was external. It obviously relates to the city, like if there's economic development in businesses and so on.

But the orientation was really towards external community work. And then I would bring, you know, that information or those action items back in to senior staff.

- Q. And there came a time that Mr. Kurtz, Edward Kurtz became the emergency manager, correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. And his term approximately was from August of 2012 to June of 2013; is that correct?
- 3 A. Yes, that sounds right.
- Q. And then after Mr. Kurtz, Mr. Brown came back in 2013 and served until about October; is that correct?
- 6 A. Yes. And Mr. Brown had been city administrator under
- 7 Mr. Kurtz. That's a position in our city charter, the chief
- 8 operating officer for the city. The most senior appointed
- 9 staff. So that's a position in our charter.
- 10 Q. And then Mr. Earley came on after Mr. Brown from about
- October of 2013 until roughly the middle of January of 2015?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. I'd like to show you what -- ask you to take a look at
- 14 ■ Tab 52, which is marked as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2609.
- Do you recognize this document?
- 16 After you've had a chance to look at it.
- 17 A. I believe I saw this. This is not a city document. This
- 18 is a State of Michigan document. And it's the emergency
- 19 | manager services for Mr. Darnell Earley. I believe I did see
- 20 this at some point.
- 21 Q. And that was during the time you were mayor of the City of
- 22 Flint?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 \parallel Q. And was it at the time when Mr. Earley was emergency
- 25 manager?

```
This is dated -- Mr. Earley's signature is October 3,
 2
     2013.
            That's consistent with my understanding.
 3
               MR. CHRISTIAN: Your Honor, I move to admit
      Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2609.
 4
 5
               MR. STERN: No objection.
 6
               MR. ERICKSON:
                              No objection.
 7
               THE COURT: Okay. It's received.
        (VNA Exhibit No. 2609 Admitted Into Evidence.)
 8
 9
     BY MR. CHRISTIAN:
         So what I'd like to do if you wouldn't mind is if you look
10
11
     at Section 1.3. It has the heading "Duties."
12
               Would you do me the favor of reading what the duties
13
     of the emergency manager are for the jury?
        Yes. So 1.3, "Duties. The emergency manager shall
14
15
     possess all the powers and duties authorized under the act" --
16
     sorry -- "noted above Public Act 436 of 2012, including those
17
     specifically related to local governments.
18
               "In addition, the emergency manager shall work
19
     cooperatively with the office of the governor and the state
20
     treasurer. The emergency manager agrees to continue to keep
21
     these officials informed of major initiatives to be undertaken
22
     in furtherance of this contract before their public
23
     announcement."
24
     Q.
         Thank you.
25
               And if you turn to page 2 in Section 3.2, what was
```

```
1 the compensation of the emergency manager?
```

- 2 A. Item 3.2, "Salary," reads, "The emergency manager's salary
- 3 for services rendered under this contract shall be 180,000 per
- 4 year."
- 5 Q. And let's look at Section 4.1, entitled, "Staff."
- 6 Would you read the first sentence of that, please?
- 7 A. Yes. 4.1, "Staff. The emergency manager may, as provided
- 8 in the act, appoint additional staff as necessary to fulfill
- 9 the obligations of the emergency manager's appointment and
- 10 duties under this contract."
- 11 Q. And was this consistent with your understanding of the
- 12 powers or some of the powers of the emergency manager?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And during the time that Mr. Earley was emergency manager,
- 15 did there come a time when he actually appointed staff within
- 16 the -- to support him?
- 17 \blacksquare A. Well, of course, we had senior staff who were appointed.
- 18 I think most of the senior staff continued. I believe one
- 19 ■ appointment that Mr. Earley made was the appointment of a new
- 20 chief of police. Chief James Tolbert.
- 21 Q. And Section 4.3. Would you read the first sentence of
- 22 that?
- 23 A. Yes. First sentence?
- 24 | Q. Yes, please.
- 25 A. 4.3, "Security. The emergency manager will be entitled to

- receive security protection in connection with the emergency
 manager's duties under this contract."
 - Q. Do you recall whether Emergency Manager Earley actually appointed security for himself?
 - A. Not that I recall.

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. So did there come a time when Mr. Earley left the role of emergency manager approximately January of 2015?
 - A. Yes. Mr. Earley -- I wasn't literally in the room when this happened. I was next door, because my office opens into that conference room where they held the press conference.

But state officials came to Flint. It was announced that Mr. Earley was leaving the emergency manager position in the City of Flint.

And he was moving to be the emergency manager for Detroit Public Schools, which was also under emergency management under this same Public Act of 436 of 2012.

Q. And excuse me.

Gerald Ambrose succeeded Mr. Earley?

- A. Yes. And then Mr. Ambrose -- I think it was even announced at that same time. Mr. Ambrose had been serving as the city's finance director, and he was appointed emergency manager for Flint under this same state law.
- Q. And his term lasted until April of 2015?
- 24 A. Yes. End of April 2015.
- 25 \parallel Q. And during the course of these transitions from one

```
emergency manager to the next, were you consulted and asked your opinion on who should be the next emergency manager?
```

A. No.

3

6

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And is it your understanding that Governor Snyder made the decision about who should be the emergency manager?
 - A. Yes. It is -- the law says the governor appoints.
- 7 | Q. So I'd like to direct you to -- well, just a moment here.

And when Mr. Ambrose left the role, did you come

back --

- 10 THE COURT: Left where?
- 11 BY MR. CHRISTIAN:
- Q. -- left the role of emergency manager in April of 2015,
 did you come back as mayor and resume with your powers to lead
- 14 \parallel the city?
- 15 A. In part.
- 16 Q. Okay. Tell us what part you received.
- A. All right. So there were two major changes. The

 emergency manager under the state law had the ability to issue

 orders that stayed in effect, even as that individual -- as

 there would be no person serving as emergency manager in Flint.
 - So effectively, the governance of the City of Flint became the city of charter in our regular city code, unless modified by an emergency manager order.

And there was one -- one major order that affected the operations of the city. And that was that the city

administrator, whose position I said is in the charter, the chief operating officer normally appointed by the mayor and city council.

That that individual would become responsible for department head decisions.

So that emergency manager order strengthened the city administrator's role within city governance. The city was to consult with the mayor under that order, but it was no longer my prerogative to hire or fire someone.

I could appeal to the city administrator. The city administrator could also not be removed by the mayor, the way it normally would be under the charter.

The city administrator could only be removed by joint action of the mayor, the city council, and this new transition advisory board.

So with the exit of the emergency manager, the state law imposed an appointed, I believe, three-member transition advisory board. It was headed by Fred Headen, who was an employee of the Michigan Department of Treasury.

And that body met, I think, approximately once a month. So in order for the City of Flint to take official action, to enter into a contract, to pass a new statute, you know, what have you.

It would go from a city administrator resolution to a city council vote. And then whatever made it through city

council would go onto the docket of the Transition Advisory
Board.

There were some other orders about various matters.

But those were the two major changes to the structure of City of Flint government.

Q. So sounds a bit complex?

A. Yes. And there were probably court challenges to many of these things in front of judges across the state as it was throughout this process.

So you always just had to pay attention to, you know, what had been passed, what was in place. Try to work from that at that particular time.

Q. So you mentioned the city administrator.

Who appointed that individual?

A. The city administrator, Natasha Henderson, I believe was appointed near the end of Mr. Earley's time. And then she -- I don't know if she had actually started her first day while he was still there, but right about that time.

And then she served throughout my time in office, continued for some time under Mayor Dr. Karen Weaver.

- Q. So just to be clear, Mr. Ambrose appointed the city administrator?
- A. I think Mr. Earley did. But then Mr. Ambrose didn't change it. You know, it just -- unless you were removed, you just stayed appointed.

- 1 Q. And you mentioned the Transition Advisory Board.
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Three members?
- 4 A. It started as three. There may have been five at some
- 5 point.
- 6 Q. And Governor Snyder appointed the members of that body?
- 7 A. You know, I mean, we should look at the law. It might --
- 8 | it might say the treasure -- you know, the treasurer's
- 9 appointed by the governor. So I thought of it as a governor's
- 10 appointment.
- 11 Q. So is it your testimony that it was either the governor or
- 12 the treasurer?
- 13 A. That's my recollection, yeah.
- 14 Q. And the treasurer was appointed by the governor?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And so you described a fairly complex procedure for
- 17 getting things done after Mr. Ambrose left. So what I want to
- 18 do just to get a little clarity, I'm just going to go through
- 19 some of the powers you mentioned earlier and see which -- you
- 20 know, which ones you had at that point in time.
- 21 So as of Mr. Ambrose's departure, could you appoint
- 22 executive staff?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. Could you appoint department heads?
- 25 A. No.

- Q. Did you have authority over the management team?
- $2 \parallel A$. No. I would consult with the city administrator.
- Q. And were you able to develop the budget at that point in time?
- 5 A. I believe that was also a duty of the city administrator.
- I certainly continued to have involvement. And we tried to follow both the orders as well as the charter.
- So I believe I made the presentation to city council,

 even though it would have been under the emergency manager's

 order, technically the city administrator's responsibility to

 develop the document.
- Q. So the city administrator developed the budget, at that point in time?
- 14 A. And then I presented it, yes.

22

23

24

- Q. And what about spending less than \$2,000 at that point in time?
- Did you have authority to do that?
- A. No. And that had been changed. Now, the administration through the city administrator, I believe the limit was now \$30,000.
 - So the administration could take action, you know, at a higher amount of money than it could under the traditional charter and statutes.
 - Q. Okay. So the city administrator could spend up to \$30,000?

- A. I think that was the number. And I'm sorry. All of these things would be in documents, if it makes a difference.
 - Q. And to go above \$30,000, did the city administrator need to seek approval somewhere else?
 - A. Yeah. Then it would go through the resolution process, as we called it. So a senior staff or department head would develop a resolution, could come directly from the city administrator, go through legal and financial review, then to the city clerk, then to the city council.

And then whatever the city council passed was then moved to the transition advisory board docket.

- Q. And when you left office in November of 2015, the Receivership Transition Advisory Board was still in place; is that correct?
- 15 A. Yes. Yes, it was.

Q. And just want to direct your attention back to the emergency managers really quickly.

Is it your understanding that the emergency manager had discretion to approve expenditures up to \$50,000?

- A. Yes. That was -- that was what was written into the relationship, the protocols between an emergency manager and the State Department of Treasury.
- Q. And above that amount, the emergency manager had to get approval from the State Department of Treasury?
- 25 A. Yes. And it had to be an official approval. In practice,

```
1 it seemed to me like the emergency manager was, you know,
2 consulting with treasury staff on practically everything.
```

But there was a formal approval process required for those expenditures over \$50,000 on an annual basis.

- Q. And so I'd like to direct your attention, again, to the authorities you -- and powers you had as governor -- excuse me, as mayor. I'm sorry to keep saying that.
- If you turn to Tab 2 of the binder, which is VNA trial exhibit -- as premarked for identification as VNA trial Exhibit 598.

Do you recognize this document?

- A. Yes. We looked at it previously in this room.
- Q. Sure. And it is --
- MR. CHRISTIAN: Your Honor, I move to admit --
- 15 THE COURT: I think it's already admitted, right?
- 16 MR. STERN: I admitted it yesterday.
- 17 THE COURT: Yeah. It's already an exhibit.
- 18 BY MR. CHRISTIAN:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- Q. So this is when Emergency Manager Earley made you responsible for day-to-day operations of the public works department?
- 22 A. Yes. This was dated June 20, 2014.
- Q. So in terms of the authorities that you laid out as -that you had as the mayor, what, if any, new authorities did
- 25 you gain by this order?

A. So the emergency manager looked to me to take the weekly report from these two departments that are mentioned on the top of the second page. That first page is all just pursuant to 436.

Department of Planning and Development, that's the new department that is working on the comprehensive master plan and also oversees the city's department of community and economic development, the development block grant funds, the planning commission, and building and safety functions and inspections.

So that was one department head. That was Ms. Megan Hunter. And I took that same report from Mr. Howard Croft, who was director of the Department of Public Works.

That was the department that included utilities, water, sewer, water service center, transportation. I think parks may have been under planning and development at this time. Transportation was still doing street, trees, traffic engineering.

So I took weekly reports from both of those directors about their operations. What were they working on. What was, you know, happening with any issues maybe with staffing.

And then I was meeting at that same time approximately weekly with the emergency manager, Mr. Earley, and would go over those notes or those reports.

Q. So just to be clear, you took these reports.

		_	_	
1	$M \cap M$	ra thaga	Oral	meetings?
	W C .	re chese	Orar	meetings:

A. Yes.

- Q. So in-person meetings?
- 4 A. In-person meetings, yes, in my office.
- 5 Q. And did they occur religiously every week?
- 6 A. Close. Yeah.
- 7 | Q. And during the course of your meetings with Mr. Croft, did
- 8 you get opportunities to ask questions about specific issues
- 9 with respect to that department?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And you could ask specific questions with respect to the
- 12 | Flint Water Treatment Plant?
- 13 A. Yes. Water Treatment Plant, these main breaks we've been
- 14 \parallel talking about. Different issues that are coming up in
- 15 transportation. You know, street sweeping. Leaf pickup. Yes,
- 16 yes, I would certainly ask questions.
- 17 Q. And did you get an opportunity to meet with the employees
- 18 at the Flint Water Treatment Plant?
- 19 \blacksquare A. Yes. I did one meeting at the Flint treatment plant in
- 20 the fall of 2014.
- 21 Q. So one meeting?
- 22 A. Yes, one meeting. I walked through on a couple -- at
- 23 least one other occasion. But this was an actual -- this was
- 24 | like an employee meeting where Mr. Croft, you know, made sure
- 25 those different groups knew that I would be there and that I'd

be coming and asking questions.

And it was -- it was part of, you know, my expanded work under this order.

- Q. And did there come a time during your time as mayor where you stopped having these weekly meetings?
- A. Yes. So with the -- with the appointment of the city administrator, there was a new structure than what we had in 2014. So I believe at this time in the second half of 2014, there was no one in the city administrator's position.

So I had mentioned Mr. Brown serving as city administrator under Mr. Kurtz. Mr. Earley, you know, effectively operated as the city administrator. He was a trained city manager himself.

So I took these couple department reports. He took the other department reports; chief of police, legal, HR, etcetera.

With the hiring of Natasha Henderson, there was an emergency manager order that detailed that position's responsibilities. And at that point, Ms. Henderson took all of these department head reports.

- Q. Do you remember when that was?
- A. Of course, there would be a document on this. I mean, this is what January-February 2015, right about in that.
 - Q. All right. So if you weren't happy with a report you received from Mr. Croft, what, if any, actions could you take?

```
I could, you know, express my displeasure to Mr. Croft
 2
     which I would do on occasion when I felt it was warranted. And
 3
     then I would take that concern to the emergency manager. And
 4
     that was where -- I mean, you saw this is a very short order.
 5
               And I came to see, you know, what the practical
 6
     limits of what I had been assigned was.
 7
               So if -- when employees at the water plant talked
 8
      about -- were running, you know, low on a particular chemical,
 9
      I remember there being something about, "We want to make sure
      we have this chemical," then I couldn't write that resolution
10
11
      to spend more money on that need that I became aware of.
12
               I would take that to the emergency manager. And
13
      Mr. Earley would effectively tell me, "Thank you, very much."
               So whether that involved personnel or legal matters
14
15
      or other kind of HR, that -- I understood what the
16
      responsibility was.
17
               And I would bring those matters to his attention, and
18
      he would be the one who could then act on those.
```

- So you couldn't fire Mr. Croft if you would like to?
- 20 Α. Correct.

- 21 Could you contribute -- did you conduct evaluations of
- 22 Mr. Croft or any other treatment plant personnel?
- 23 I did not.
- 24 So I'm going to move to a different topic. And I'd like 25 you to look at Tab 3, which is VNA Exhibit 76.

```
This document's dated November 7 of 2011; is that
 2
     correct?
 3
     Α.
          Yes.
 4
        And you've seen this before.
 5
               Did you receive a time -- did you receive a copy on
 6
     the date -- on or around the date of November 7 of 2011?
 7
        Yes. And I see on the last page myself, city council,
     speaker of the -- the Michigan House and Senate majority leader
 8
 9
     in the state. Yes. So yes, I did see this at that time.
10
               MR. CHRISTIAN: Your Honor, I move to admit VNA
11
      Exhibit 76 into evidence.
12
               MR. STERN: No objection.
13
               MR. ERICKSON: No objection.
14
               THE COURT: Okay. It's received.
15
        (VNA Exhibit No. 76 Admitted Into Evidence.)
16
     BY MR. CHRISTIAN:
        And so this document, Exhibit 76, VNA Exhibit 76, it's
17
18
     titled, "Report of the Flint Financial Review Team"; is that
19
     correct?
20
     Α.
        Yes.
21
        And the name of the team members are at the top of the
22
     page on the right; is that correct? Or top of the page; is
23
     that correct?
24
        Yes. I'm going through the names. I understood all of
25
     those individuals to be on the financial review them.
```

```
Q. And they were members of the Snyder administration?
```

- A. No. They were, I think, technically appointed by the state treasurer. I don't know all of the individuals well, although we may have met.
- Mr. Earley was serving as the city manager in Saginaw at the time. Mr. Robert Emerson was, I believe, with a private company. He had been a long-time state legislature from Flint who had served as Governor Granholm's budgets director for a period of time.
- Mr. Headen, I did know he was a long-time employee at the State Department of Treasury. We had a common connection of being Michigan State University James Madison College alumni.

Brom Stibitz worked for State Treasurer Dillon.

- So I was more than familiar with about half of these individuals. But they -- at least some of them were not part of the Governor Snyder administration in a formal way.
- Q. So they worked for the Department of Treasury, as you understand it?
- A. I don't know what the conditions of their participation was, if it was compensated or a matter of public service. I'm sure they had some contract to perform a duty under Public Act -- at this time it would have been Public Act 4.
- Q. So let's take a look at the document, specifically the first paragraph. If you look to the third line from the

```
bottom, there is -- starts with "a local government."

Do you see that?
```

A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

19

20

21

- Q. Would you please read the rest of that paragraph from that point?
- A. Yes.

"A local government financial emergency exists within the City of Flint and that no satisfactory plan exists to resolve that emergency. Therefore, the review team recommends the appointment of an emergency manager."

- Q. And so this essentially is a document you received surrounding your -- surrounding the appointment of emergency manager; is that fair to say?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. And this was a financial event; is that correct?
- 16 A. Yes. This -- that language comes from what's required
 17 under Public Act 4.
- 18 0. So now I'd like to --

MR. CHRISTIAN: Just for Your Honor's knowledge, I think we're a little early for the break, but I'm getting ready to switch to a different topic, just so you know.

22 THE COURT: Go ahead. If everyone's okay, we'll just 23 keep going.

- 24 BY MR. CHRISTIAN:
- Q. So I'd like to turn your attention to the Karegnondi Water

- 1 Authority, KWA, I guess, it's commonly known?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. In March of 2013, that was roughly a year before the
- 4 switch to the Flint River, you recall the city council voting
- 5 to join the KWA?
- 6 A. Yes.
- $7 \parallel Q$. And that vote was what, 7 to 1?
- 8 A. Yes. I think that's right. Yeah, we had a vacancy at the
- 9 time. Um-hum.
- 10 Q. And so, you know, you've testified about this. But that
- 11 was about switching the water source from the DWSD to the KWA;
- 12 | is that correct?
- 13 A. Yes. The decision on the long-term supply.
- 14 \ Q. And what was the legal meaning of the city council's vote
- 15 to join the KWA at the time?
- 16 A. It was an expression of position in a way that a city body
- 17 | takes a position on a, you know, state law or maybe even an
- 18 international human rights issue.
- 19 So the city council was expressing its position on
- 20 the matter.
- 21 Q. Okay. So it was basically symbolic?
- 22 A. That's not how I would say it. It's an expression of that
- 23 body's position.
- 24 \parallel Q. And but at point, in fact, at that time, the emergency
- 25 manager had the authority to make that decision; is that

1 correct?

5

- A. Yes, that's correct. And there was not -- although there
 could have been, there was not an order that further empowered
 a city council. It was a resolution put before them on a
- And the city council discussed it, I believe, over two meetings, as it turned out and then took a vote on the matter.

properly called meeting, Public Open Meetings Act.

- 9 Q. And at that point in time, Mr. Kurtz was the emergency manager?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. And for him to approve joining the KWA, did he need to seek any other approvals himself?
- A. Yes. That was on a month's-long back-and-forth with the state Department of Treasury which practically ended up involving Governor Snyder himself.
- Q. And so ultimately the decision was made to switch to the KWA?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. And you testified about the contract being terminated, correct?
- 22 THE COURT: What contract?
- MR. CHRISTIAN: Excuse me. I'll be more clear. I apologize.
- 25 BY MR. CHRISTIAN:

- Q. The letter coming from the DWSD indicating that they would terminate the contract, you testified about that, correct?
 - A. Yes. That was -- the contract provided the cost structure of what the city was paying for water from DWSD.
 - Q. And in 2013, the expectation that the KWA would be able to operate, was it 2016?

7 Is that what you testified?

3

4

5

6

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 8 A. It was approximately -- you know, it was two to three years.
- Q. But the termination date set by the DWSD was April of 2014?
- 12 A. Yes. A year from whenever it was issued. Either party
 13 could terminate that contract with 12 month's notice.
 - Q. So there was a need for some sort of interim source between the end of the DWSD contract and the beginning of receiving water from the KWA, correct?
 - A. Yes. And that's what different levels of this decision, this is now the decision of continue with DWSD in some modified cost structure the way Genesee County did. Or the discussion that occurred kind of over time about using the Flint River in part or full.
- Q. And you've testified a moment ago about the city council voting to join the KWA, correct?
- A. Counsel, are you referring to the creation of the authority or using KWA as a long-term water supply?

Q. Thank you for asking.

Using the KWA as a long-term water supply?

- A. Yes. That was the city council vote in March of 2013.
- 4 Q. Now, when there was the issue of the interim water source,
- 5 the city council did not vote to use the Flint River as an
- 6 interim water source between the DWSD and the KWA; is that
- 7 | correct?

2

- 8 A. Correct. The city council did not vote on that specific
- 9 decision. It did not ultimately vote on the budget that was
- 10 put in place for that coming fiscal year. There was a budget
- 11 presentation to city council for informational purposes. I
- 12 participated in that.
- But it was the emergency manager's action that put
- 14 | that budget in place with the support of the Department of
- 15 Treasury.
- 16 Q. And there came a time when Mr. Kurtz approved the use of
- 17 | the Flint River as the interim source?
- 18 A. That's what I understand. I don't have -- I don't have
- 19 \parallel knowledge of what many of those conversations were.
- 20 It was in the budget proposal, and I came to
- 21 understand later that there had been some discussions with
- 22 staff and Michigan Department of Environmental Quality about
- 23 actually proceeding with what was in that budget.
- 24 But that -- those were things I came to understand
- 25 later.

```
Okay. Thank you for clarifying that.
 2
               So put it this way. At that point in time, you
 3
      didn't have authority to choose the KWA as a long-term water
      source; is that correct?
 4
 5
     Α.
        Correct.
 6
          And the city council didn't have the authority to do that,
 7
     to select the KWA as a long-term water source; is that correct?
        That's correct.
 8
     Α.
 9
         Or an interim water source for Flint?
10
               THE COURT: Well, the KWA wasn't going to be ready
11
      until 2016, right?
12
               Can you clarify -- did you mean the Flint River?
13
               MR. CHRISTIAN: Well, at first I asked the question
14
      about the KWA as a long-term water source --
15
               THE COURT: Okay.
               MR. CHRISTIAN: -- and then I asked did the --
16
17
     BY MR. CHRISTIAN:
18
        If the city council had voted, it would not have had
19
     authority to vote to use the Flint River as an interim source;
20
     is that correct?
21
        Correct. It would not have had the authority of, you
22
     know, the legal action of the City of Flint municipal
23
     corporation.
```

the region decided to use the DWSD as an interim water source

Q. And you mentioned a moment ago that other communities in

- 1 \blacksquare before the KWA water became available?
- 2 A. That's correct. So in some fashion, the county drain
- 3 commissioner's office made provision for water from DWSD to
- 4 continue to come, which then, you know, was administered to
- 5 | various communities in Genesee County excluding Flint.
- Q. So I'd like to redirect your attention to -- back to March
- 7 of 2013.
- 8 Do you recall a city council meeting on March 25 of
- 9 2013?
- 10 A. I believe that was the second of those two city council
- 11 meetings I referenced.
- 12 Q. And at that city council meeting, you had the opportunity
- 13 to speak; is that correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And you talked about using the Flint River as a permanent
- 16 \parallel water supply and described that as extraordinarily risky,
- 17 correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And at the same meeting, you described the DWSD water as
- 20 one of the cleanest sources of fresh water on the planet; is
- 21 | that correct?
- 22 A. Yes. Drawn from -- drawn from, you know, what, a mile and
- 23 a half or two off the shores of Lake Huron, yes.
- 24 \parallel Q. Now, going a little bit forward in time to April of 2014.
- 25 You were at the -- and you testified about it yesterday --

```
physically at the Flint Water Treatment Plant and were the one
 2
     who -- you were the person who pushed the button to start the
 3
     Flint River flowing into the homes, correct?
 4
     A. Yes.
 5
     Q. So I want to show you what has been marked as VNA trial
     Exhibit 539. It's Tab 59. And it was admitted -- it's already
 6
7
     been admitted into evidence. And so --
8
              THE COURT: What was the exhibit number when it was
 9
     admitted?
              MR. CHRISTIAN: The exhibit number -- let's see here.
10
11
     It was -- well, VNA Exhibit 539.
12
              THE COURT: Okay. But you said it was previously
     exhibited --
13
              MR. STERN: I don't believe this exhibit has been
14
15
     previously marked.
16
              THE COURT: Yeah. Okay. I don't know that I've seen
17
     it. Okay. So this is going to be VNA 539.
18
              MR. CHRISTIAN: Yes, Your Honor.
19
              THE COURT: Is there --
              MR. STERN: I object.
20
              THE COURT: Okay.
21
22
              MR. STERN: He's not a party to this email. We had
23
     an entire --
24
              THE COURT: Let me just hear Mr. Erickson --
25
              MR. CHRISTIAN: It was already been admitted, Your
```

```
1
     Honor. So it's already in evidence.
 2
               THE COURT: Okay. What is the exhibit number?
              MR. CHRISTIAN: VNA 539.
 3
 4
               THE COURT: I know. But we have a previously
 5
     admitted VNA 539. What is the one that it was admitted under,
 6
     and I'll look on my list to confirm.
 7
              MR. CHRISTIAN:
                              Okay.
 8
               THE COURT: Which witness did we get this from?
 9
              MR. CHRISTIAN: We admitted it through Warren Green,
10
     Your Honor.
11
               THE COURT: Oh, okay. And what number was it for
12
     Mr. Green? I've got that right here.
13
              MR. CHRISTIAN: We're conferring that right now, Your
14
     Honor.
            I apologize for the delay.
15
               THE COURT: That's okay. We're getting close to a
16
            So we'll just see if we can figure out that we've
17
     already got this exhibit.
18
              MR. CHRISTIAN: Yes, Your Honor. It looks like it
     was Exhibit 539.
19
               THE COURT: Oh, okay.
20
21
              MR. CHRISTIAN: And I can tell you where it was on
22
     the transcript, Your Honor.
23
               THE COURT: Just what day?
24
              MR. CHRISTIAN: Admitted. It was admitted on
25
     March 10, Your Honor.
```

```
Oh, I've gone back too far. Yeah.
             THE COURT:
2
    got it as received. Okay. So go ahead. And then we'll try
3
    to take a break around noon.
4
```

- MR. CHRISTIAN: Okay.
- 5 BY MR. CHRISTIAN:
- 6 So would you please -- you're taking a look at the --
- 7 The tab, please? Α.
- 8 The tab, yes. This is an email dated April 16 --
- 9 What number is it? Α.
- 10 Oh, I'm sorry. I apologize. Tab 59.
- 11 I can read it better in person.
- 12 So that will give you a chance to look at it.
- 13 Α. Yes.
- 14 So just looking at the date, this email is dated April 16
- 15 and 17th of 2014; is that correct?
- 16 Yes. Α.
- 17 And that's about a week roughly before you pressed the
- 18 button to switch to the Flint River?
- 19 Yes. Those dates are correct.
- 20 Let's go to the bottom of the email chain. So this is
- 21 from Michael Glasgow, and just to refresh everyone's
- 22 recollection.
- 23 Who is Michael Glasgow?
- 24 I do see this just above that. He's the laboratory and
- water quality supervisor for the Flint Water Plant.

- Q. Were you aware that he was the F1 licensed operator of the plant?
- A. I don't remember that specifically. I know there were discussions about F1s and the operations of the plant.
- 5 Q. And are you familiar -- were you familiar with
- 6 Mr. Rosenthal, who's in to-line of this email, Adam Rosenthal?
- 7 A. I don't know that I was. I certainly would not have been 8 at the time.
- 9 Q. And so if we look at to-line after his name in parentheses, what is that, DEQ?
- 11 A. Yes, DEQ.
- Q. And when you see DEQ, what entity do you associate that
- 13 | with?
- 14 A. This is a state department. The State Department of
- 15 Environmental Quality.
- Q. So what I'm going to do here is ask you to read here this paragraph of what Mr. Glasgow wrote.
- 18 MR. STERN: Which paragraph?
- 19 BY MR. CHRISTIAN:
- Q. The bottom paragraph in the first email. Begins with,
- 21 good afternoon." If you go to the bottom of the email
- 22 thread --
- 23 A. Oh, the bottom. I see.
- 24 Q. On page 2.
- 25 A. I'm sorry. I was still on page 1. I couldn't find that

```
paragraph.
 2
         Sorry. About that.
 3
               THE COURT: Flip the page, and it's there.
 4
               THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. "Adam. Good afternoon."
 5
               Would you like me to read this?
 6
     BY MR. CHRISTIAN:
 7
        Yes, please.
     Q.
 8
        It doesn't matter -- I'm not on this. I just want --
 9
     okay.
        I know. This is called publishing it to the jury.
10
11
     already in evidence.
12
               THE COURT: Do you want him to read it out loud?
13
               MR. CHRISTIAN: Yes, please.
14
     BY MR. CHRISTIAN:
15
        Please read it out loud.
         "Adam, good afternoon. I'm contacting you in regards to
16
17
     possible changes in our monitoring of our change to full-time
18
     operation out of the Flint River.
19
               "I am expecting changes to our water quality
20
     monitoring parameters and possibly our DBP and lead and copper
     monitoring plan. Also, I am wondering about our MOR-required
21
22
     testing.
23
               "I know you have probably been in contact with Howard
24
     Croft; however, I have not seen anything except the notes you
```

or Prysby made on the last page of our 2014 monitoring

```
1
     schedule, quote, that things were subject to change, unquote.
 2
               "Any information would be greatly appreciated because
 3
     it looks as if we will be starting the plant up tomorrow and
 4
     are being pushed to start distributing water as soon as
 5
     possible.
 6
               "As the acting F-1, I would like to make sure we are
 7
     monitoring, reporting, and meeting our requirements before I
 8
     give the okay to start distributing water. Thanks, Mike
 9
     Glasgow."
10
          Okay. So just a couple of terms in there I want to see if
11
     you know the meaning of.
12
               MOR, are you familiar with that? Monthly operating
13
     report?
14
               MR. STERN: Objection. He's familiar with it now.
                                                                    Ι
15
      mean --
16
               THE WITNESS: I don't think I was.
17
               THE COURT: Well, we'll just find out if he was --
18
      did you know what that was before Mr. Christian mentioned it?
19
               THE WITNESS: I don't think so. I mean, now that he
20
      said it, I remember later that there were some monthly reports
21
      that we were, I think, even publishing at a later point.
22
               THE COURT: Good.
23
     BY MR. CHRISTIAN:
24
          The name Prysby, is that familiar to you?
25
          That is. Again, you know, not at the time. I wasn't in
```

```
these discussions. But Mike Prysby was one of the individuals
 2
     who then was coming to Flint, like, in 2015 and was
 3
     participating in public meetings or advisory meetings.
 4
               So I do know now, you know, and as of about 2015,
 5
     Prysby.
 6
        So in this text, Mr. Glasgow mentioned being pushed to
 7
     start distributing water as soon as possible.
 8
               Based on your experience, who was pushing him?
 9
               MR. STERN: Objection. It assumes facts not in
10
      evidence.
11
               THE COURT: If he knows. He's told us he wasn't --
12
               THE WITNESS: I don't know.
13
               THE COURT: Yeah.
14
     BY MR. CHRISTIAN:
15
        Okay. So let's move to -- move up in the chain --
16
               THE COURT: You know what, I've just remembered we're
17
      supposed to be taking a break. So why don't we do that.
      We'll take about a 15-minute break.
18
19
               And so please rise for the jury.
20
                                (Jury Out)
21
               THE COURT: Mr. Walling, feel free to take a break,
      and we'll be back in 15 minutes.
22
23
               THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
24
                              (Brief Recess)
25
               THE COURT: Please be seated, and we'll get the jury
```

```
back in.
 1
 2
               It is turning sunny out, and it is 39 degrees.
                                                               We're
 3
      headed in the right direction. So this is good.
 4
               UNIDENTIFIED PERSON: Rain tomorrow.
 5
               THE COURT: I know. I think it's going to rain
 6
      forever after today.
 7
               THE CASE MANAGER: All rise for the jury.
 8
                                 (Jury In)
 9
               THE COURT: Okay. Please be seated. Welcome back.
10
               And, Mr. Christian, you may proceed.
11
               MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
12
     BY MR. CHRISTIAN:
13
        Mr. Walling, before we had the break, I asked you about if
14
     you knew who was pushing Mr. Glasgow to distribute the water
15
     from the Flint River.
16
               And you said you didn't know, correct?
17
     A. Correct.
18
        And so I'd like to direct your attention to that same
19
     email at the bottom on the backside on page 2. In the
20
     second-to-last line, it says, "as the acting F1."
               That term, "F1," what, if any, meaning did it have to
21
22
     you?
23
        It's a license. It has to do with the -- like, an ability
24
     to run a Water Treatment Plant.
```

So based on this email, it appears that Mr. Glasgow is

1 representing himself to have that license; is that fair to say?

- 2 A. That is what it says.
- 3 Q. So I'd like to turn back to the first page. And if you
- 4 | take a look at Mr. Rosenthal's response on Wednesday, April 16,
- 5 | 2014, at 2:49 P.M., and I'll summarize.
- 6 He writes that the MDEQ has been working on a revised
- 7 monitoring schedule and that it was being sent out on the same
- 8 day; is that correct?
- 9 A. Revised schedule, yes.
- 10 Q. And then Mr. Glasgow responds later that day -- or excuse
- 11 | me -- on the next day, April 17, at 11:05 A.M.
- 12 And would you please read for the jury Mr. Glasgow's
- 13 response?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 "From Mike Glasgow. Adam, thank you for the quick
- 16 \parallel response. I assumed there would be dramatic changes to our
- 17 | monitoring. I have people above me making plans to distribute
- 18 water ASAP.
- 19 "I was reluctant before, but after looking at the
- 20 monitoring schedule and our current staffing, I do not
- 21 anticipate giving the okay to begin sending water out any time
- 22 soon.
- "If water is distributed from this plant in the next
- 24 | couple of weeks, it will be against my direction. I need time
- 25 to adequately train additional staff and to update our

```
1 monitoring plans before I will feel we are ready.
```

- "I will reiterate this to management above me, but they seem to have their own agenda. Thank you, Mike Glasgow."
- 4 Q. Thank you for reading that.
- So first I'd like to look at that email. It's from Michael Glasgow.
- 7 Who's in the to-line?
- 8 A. Adam Rosenthal DEQ.
- 9 Q. And what about the copy, the CC line?
- 10 A. Mike Prysby, DEQ. Stephen Busch, DEQ.
- 11 Q. Okay. So Mr. Glasgow talks about time he needs to train
- 12 staff before he gives the okay to turn on the Flint Water
- 13 Treatment Plant.
- 14 That's part of what he wrote, correct?
- 15 A. I see that.
- 16 \parallel Q. Did Mr. Croft or anyone else tell you, ever tell you that
- 17 Mr. Glasgow needed more time to train staff before turning on
- 18 the Flint Water Treatment Plant?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. And at that point in time, how often were you meeting with
- 21 Mr. Croft?
- 22 A. I wasn't meeting with Mr. Croft individually at this time.
- 23 I would see Mr. Croft in those weekly staff meetings. We've
- 24 \parallel seen some of the notes from those at different times.
- 25 And then I believe at this time, Mr. Croft's office,

I think he was also primarily working out of an office in the mayor's office suite. So it was like a horseshoe of different offices.

So I might, you know, speak with Howard for a few minutes at some point during a day. You know, ask him, "How's it going?"

And he would say -- if it had to do with water around that time, I would hear something like, "We're working on it. There's things we still have to do."

Q. So --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 11 A. Very general kinds of statements. He was not -- he was
 12 not reporting to me. I was not in that chain of command.
- Q. And at the time of that email -- so you saw him at least weekly in a regularly scheduled meeting; is that correct?
- A. Yeah. Approximately. You know, different staff might not be there every week. But I would be there almost all those weekly staff meetings.
- 18 Q. And then you had chance meetings with him around the office?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Watercooler talk sometimes with him?
- 22 A. Yeah. We didn't have a watercooler, but yes.
- Q. And so did anyone tell you that Mr. Glasgow needed more
- 24 | time?
- 25 A. No.

```
Q. And then just one week later, you actually pressed that button, correct?
```

A. Yes.

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Q. Mr. Glasgow refers to management above him having their own agenda.

A. So just the structure, there was Brent Wright, the water plant supervisor. We've seen that individual. I believe Duffy Johnson was utilities director at that time.

Who is the management above Mr. Glasgow at that time?

And then the next step up would be Mr. Croft as public works. And then -- April 2014. And then the emergency manager.

- Q. So there were four people above Mr. Glasgow at the time?
- A. Yeah. That's what I would describe just from the organization chart. Not knowing who was actually talking to who. But that's what the organization chart would reflect.
- Q. Mr. Glasgow refers to an agenda.

18 What agenda was he referring to?

19 THE COURT: Well, if you know.

20 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I don't know, Your Honor.

- 21 BY MR. CHRISTIAN:
- Q. Okay. So just to be clear, going into the changeover, no one told you anything about this email from Mr. Glasgow or his concerns?
- 25 A. Correct.

```
So I'd like to turn to Tab 4 of VNA Exhibit 2770. And
 2
     Mr. Walling, we have a video of the button pressing switchover.
 3
               MR. CHRISTIAN: So we'd like to first move to admit
 4
      the video clip. It's about 30 seconds long.
 5
                          No objection.
               MR. STERN:
 6
               THE COURT:
                          Okay. Just a minute. I need to write
 7
      this down.
 8
               MR. CHRISTIAN: And it's VNA, if I didn't say it, VNA
 9
      Exhibit 2770.
               THE COURT: Mr. Erickson.
10
11
               MR. ERICKSON: No objection.
12
               THE COURT: Okay. Then that's received.
13
        (VNA Exhibit No. 2770 Admitted Into Evidence.)
14
                            (Recording Played)
15
     BY MR. CHRISTIAN:
          So you were deposed in this case. I think you maybe
16
17
     testified about that already.
18
        Yes.
     Α.
        And you testified about what changed your mind between
19
20
     when you thought the Flint River was risky and the day when you
21
     pressed the button that we just saw?
22
         Yes.
23
        You said you relied upon other people's assurances; is
24
     that correct?
25
          Yes.
     Α.
```

- Q. Whose assurances did you rely upon?
- 2 \blacksquare A. Mr. Howard Croft as director of public works. The
- 3 mergency manager. And I understood that they were
- 4 communicating with Michigan Department of Environmental
- 5 Quality.
- And that the City of Flint would, you know, plant,
- 7 | would not be able to distribute water until it had met the
- 8 MDEQ's requirements under the Safe Drinking Water Act.
- 9 Q. So it was your understanding at that time -- or your
- 10 belief that the MDEQ had to provide some sort of approval for
- 11 the Flint Water Treatment Plant to operate?
- 12 A. Yes. Mr. Croft would tell me things about, you know,
- 13 | "We're working with the Michigan Department of Environmental
- 14 Quality on," you know, something or other.
- 15 Q. When Mr. Croft told you that, was that in these weekly
- 16 meetings you referred to?
- 17 A. It was either in the meetings or in those conversations.
- 18 Right about that time, I was -- no. That was a different
- 19 \parallel point. So it was in either those meetings or the individual
- 20 conversations.
- 21 \parallel Q. And at that point in time, what, if any, documentation to
- 22 backup his representations did Mr. Croft offer you?
- 23 A. I don't recall that I had documentation.
- 24 \parallel Q. And what, if any, documentation did you request from
- 25 Mr. Croft at that point in time?

- 1 \blacksquare A. I don't recall that I did request any documentation.
- 2 \blacksquare Q. And Mr. Croft made reference to the MDEQ providing him
- 3 assurances, correct?
- 4 A. That he was in communication with the MDEQ.
- Q. And at that point in time, did you make any inquires of
- 6 MDEQ directly yourself?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. And at that point in time, did you inquire with Mr. Earley
- 9 about the approvals to operate the Flint Water Treatment Plant?
- 10 A. We were in communication. Same kind of thing as with
- 11 Mr. Croft of knowing that things were being worked on, that
- 12 | there was, you know, a timeline in place. That's what I
- 13 understood.
- 14 Q. So I've asked you about, you know, what inquires you might
- 15 have made. Are there some inquiries of others, of other bodies
- 16 | that you've made that I haven't asked you about so far?
- 17 A. Not that I've recalled.
- 18 Q. And so I'm just curious.
- 19 There were many people in the room. Many smiling
- 20 faces, correct, when you pressed the button?
- 21 A. Oh, in the room. Yeah, that's a small -- that's an
- 22 | outpost of the big building. So we haven't seen a picture of
- 23 that. But there's a spot where the valve underneath where the
- 24 \parallel Detroit system connects to the city's distribution system.
- 25 So there were some number of people there. It wasn't

-- the front of the video you showed was in the Water Treatment
Plant building that we saw in a picture.

The button was in a smaller kind of one-room building around back of that complex.

- Q. Now, there were several individuals in the room when you pressed the button, correct, or in that area?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 8 | Q. How is it decided that you would press the button?
- 9 A. It was suggested as a ceremonial function. I believe
- 10 Mr. Earley maybe first suggested it. And I -- that's the kind of thing a mayor does.

I mean, I certainly look back on that day with an enormous amount of regret, given what has transpired. But a mayor performs ceremonial functions. You cut ribbons, you break ground.

You know, in my case, push a button at a water plant and drink a glass of water that has been treated at that plant.

- Q. And so you had an understanding at that point in time that MDEQ had made certain assurances as you've testified recently, correct?
- A. Yes, yes.
- Q. And at that point in time, you had a fair amount of experience working in government, correct?
- A. Yes. I had been in office myself. I had worked previously for the mayor of Washington, D.C., after graduate

- 1 school.
- 2 Q. And based on that experience and understanding of the
- 3 | Michigan government, you understood that the MDEQ was
- 4 | ultimately in charge of all drinking water systems in the
- 5 | state; is that correct?
- 6 A. Yes. The state has the primary responsibility under the
- 7 | federal law to ensure safe drinking water.
- 8 Q. So at that point in time, public works obviously was in
- 9 charge of the water treatment plant, correct?
- 10 A. Yes. It was part of that organizational structure, yes.
- 11 Q. And that also included the distribution system; is that
- 12 correct? The Department of Public Works's responsibility.
- 13 A. Yeah. The pipes within the city?
- 14 | O. Yes.
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. So in your understanding of Mr. Croft's job
- 17 | responsibilities, you understood he had a responsibility to
- 18 protect the safety and health of the citizens of Flint; is that
- 19 | fair to say?
- 20 A. Yes. That's a basic responsibility. Health and welfare.
- 21 Q. And based on your testimony, is it fair to say that
- 22 Mr. Croft had more day-to-day interactions with the staff at
- 23 the water plant than you?
- 25 than me, because I wasn't having any. But I don't -- I don't

- 1 know.
- 2 Q. And then just to be clear, you believe the water to be
- 3 safe when you pressed that button for people to drink?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Now, at that point in time, and it may seem obvious, VNA
- 6 didn't have any role or responsibility, correct?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. And just to be -- just to make it clear, their contract
- 9 wasn't signed, I think you testified until February 10 of 2015,
- 10 correct?
- 11 A. Yes, that sounds about right.
- 12 Q. So if I asked you the question: Did anyone at VNA tell
- 13 you that it wasn't safe to press the button and switch to the
- 14 | Flint River, that question wouldn't make sense to you, would
- 15 | it?
- 16 \parallel A. Right. I certainly would not have been expecting anything
- 17 from someone with VNA.
- 18 Q. So let's -- I'd like to direct your attention now to the
- 19 period after the switchover. You've talked about your office
- 20 hours. If I remember correctly, Wednesday from 10:00 to 12:00.
- 21 A. 10:00 to noon, yes.
- 22 | O. And it seems like it's something that you looked forward
- 23 to in your role as mayor?
- 24 A. Yes. Most days.
- 25 | Q. And you did that fairly religiously every week?

A. I did. I think I was sick maybe once or twice. And the only other thing I would reschedule for is I think there were -- there were a few occasions where I was asked to be at the White House for a certain purpose.

Anyone else who called, I was not available between 10:00 and noon. So if you wanted me somewhere or you wanted me at a meeting, you had to pick a different time.

- 8 Q. So roughly four weeks per month?
- 9 A. Yes.

5

6

- 10 Q. So about eight hours per month meeting with citizens?
- 11 A. You're doing the math on that one.
- 12 Q. I'm trying. And over ten months, that would be about 80
- 13 hours of time meeting with the citizens of Flint?
- 14 | A. Um-hum.
- 15 Q. Whatever issues they brought to you?
- 16 A. Um-hum.
- 17 | Q. Did they have to make a reservation to come see you?
- 18 A. No. If you wanted a reservation, which you could do, you
- 19 | know, usually the executive assistant could find time for, you
- 20 know, a 15 or 30-minute meeting usually within about a month or
- 21 about four to six weeks.
- But this was a -- this was a first come, first served
- 23 walk-in environment. It was open.
- There was a sign-in sheet, because it's also not fair
- 25 to ask somebody to, you know, show up at 9:30 and then just

```
sit there for two and a half hours.
```

So someone could come to the complex, sign in.

They'd say, "Okay. I'm number three. You know, Mayor will probably get to me about 10:30."

And as long as they were there when it came their turn, then they came back, and we sat across my desk and got to know each other a bit. And I heard what was on their mind.

- Q. And when they came to you, some of the citizens brought complaints with them, right? To you?
- 10 A. Oh, yes.
- 11 Q. And those included complaints about the water; is that 12 fair to say?
- 13 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And Mr. Stern asked you about some of those complaints yesterday. I'd like to get into some of those now.
- So first of all, after the switchover to the Flint River, when did the complaints start?
 - A. It seemed like almost immediately. Certainly, you know, in May, those complaints were coming forward. These are the things you learn a bit about later.

But it takes a certain amount of time for a water that was treated by the plant to circulate through however many hundreds of miles of pipes we have in the city. So it seemed like immediate.

Q. And did there come a time where you ever stopped having

```
1 those meetings during your second term as mayor?
```

- A. No. That was something thankfully that I was able to continue. I mean, if the emergency manager wouldn't have supported it, there might have been an alternative means that I
 - But I was using my physical office of the mayor, and when a previous emergency administration had taken place, the mayor had actually been removed from that space.

So I was in the office the whole time, was able to meet with citizens in that same fashion, even as the emergency managers came and went.

- Q. And did there come a time when the citizens ever stopped complaining about the water?
- A. No. There were ups and downs over different times and seasons. But, no, I would have probably had, you know, at least someone every -- you know, if not every week, every couple of weeks who had some particular issue with water.

Something about, you know, a test they hadn't heard back from or a concern they wanted to share.

- Q. And just to point out one other thing. So 2015 was an election year; is that correct?
- 22 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

could have used.

- Q. And I believe you said that when you first ran for mayor, you knocked on thousands of doors?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. And during 2015, did you go door to door and then also campaign?
 - A. Yes, sir.

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And did some of those people also share their complaints with you when you went door to door?
 - A. Yes. Some did. One of the challenges at that time in really trying to understand what was occurring -- and you know, again, we know a lot about these things, a lot more about them now.

But different even households, you know, right next to each other could actually have had a very different experience with what was coming out of the tap.

But, yes, there were through the summer and then in, you know, September and October, it was on everybody's mind, you know, when I knocked on a door.

- Q. And so you just testified that you could have two houses next door to each other that would have different experiences with respect to the water?
- A. Yes. As far as someone just turning on a tap. They might not know exactly what's actually happening in terms of the chemistry, but one tap could look one way another tap a different way.
- Q. And because you were talking to a lot of people back then, you knew that at a time back in 2014 and 2015; is that correct?
- 25 A. I knew there were a wide variety of experiences, yes.

```
Okay. So let's take a look at Tab number 5, which has
 2
     been marked as VNA Exhibit 2756A.
 3
               Does that exhibit -- if you've had a chance to turn
     to it, does it look familiar to you?
 4
 5
               Tab 5.
 6
          Yeah. These are my handwritten notes.
 7
          And it's front and back.
 8
        It is.
     Α.
 9
          And the page is undated; is that fair to say?
     Q.
10
          That's what I was going to say. And this -- yeah.
11
     mean, these are my notes. These are reflective of citizen
12
     frustrations. It would have either been -- these are multiple
13
     people making these comments.
               It was either at a community advisory meeting at some
14
15
     point or possibly a city council meeting.
        So first of all, so this is your handwriting.
16
17
               I believe you said you recognize it?
18
          Yes.
     Α.
19
     Q.
          And?
20
               MR. CHRISTIAN: And so, Your Honor, we move to admit
      VNA Exhibit 2756A into evidence.
21
22
               MR. STERN: No objection.
23
               MR. ERICKSON: No objection.
```

of my high tech system out. 2756A is received.

THE COURT: Just one second while I get another part

24

```
(VNA Exhibit No. 2756A Admitted Into Evidence.)
 2
     BY MR. CHRISTIAN:
 3
         So, Mr. Walling, these -- what you're recording here as
     best you can recall, these aren't your own thoughts. These are
 4
 5
     comments you received from your constituents, correct?
 6
                 What I do in my notes is if I'm adding my -- I
 7
     don't think we've seen this. But if I'm adding my own thought,
 8
     I put it in brackets.
 9
               So if I'm -- if you say something that I want to take
10
     note of, I'll try to capture that and then I'll put in brackets
11
     my own thought. So that helps me make that distinction for
12
     exactly this reason.
13
               When I look back at this, these are things I'm
14
     hearing from, you know, Flint residents that I'm making note
15
     of.
16
        And just to be clear, these notes, as far as you
17
     understand, are from one event. This isn't from a log or
18
     anything like that.
19
               This is from one event?
20
     Α.
          This is one-event note.
21
          Okay. So let's talk about a few of these comments.
                                                                So
22
     the bottom left-hand corner of the page, I see a comment that
23
     says, "I pay highest price and can't use the water."
24
               Did I read that correctly?
25
     Α.
          Yes.
```

```
And in the second column, the second comment from the top.
 2
               "Is the risk to the consumer worth saving a penny?"
 3
               Excuse me. I'm sorry. I misread that.
 4
               "Is the risk to consumer's life worth saving a
 5
      penny?"
 6
               Did I read that correctly?
 7
          Yes.
     Α.
 8
        Second column, bottom comment.
 9
               "I'm losing my hair. I can't take it."
10
               Did I read that correctly?
11
          Yes.
12
        Next, let's turn to the second page, which we referred to
13
     earlier. Is it -- let's see here. So look at this page,
14
     second column, three lines down.
15
               "Like drinking out of a toilet."
16
               Did I read that correctly?
17
     Α.
          Yes.
18
          And a little further down on the page.
19
               "Smells like a wet dog."
20
               Did I read that correctly?
21
     Α.
          Yes.
22
          And a little further down.
23
               "Cat won't drink the Flint water. Cat smells it and
24
     won't drink it."
25
               Did I read that correctly?
```

```
Α.
         Yes.
 2
          These complaints about the smell, price, etcetera, were
 3
     the types of complaints that you started receiving shortly
     after the switch to the Flint River; is that correct?
 4
 5
              And, again, I don't remember the dates of this --
 6
     these particular notes. But those were the same kinds of
 7
     things that people were complaining about, yes.
 8
               MR. CHRISTIAN: Okay. So what we're going to do, and
 9
     I make this just to notify the Court as well as the counsel.
10
     We're going to compile a demonstrative based upon the
11
      testimony of the witness.
12
               We have a -- I believe it's Tab -- let's see here --
13
      55 is the completed tab. But we're only going to add -- we're
14
     going to add one piece at a time.
15
               So if Bobby would put up --
16
               THE COURT: Just a minute. So this will be a
     timeline?
17
18
               MR. CHRISTIAN: Yes. Of different items that the
19
     witness testifies about. But we won't put it up until --
20
               THE COURT: And have you shown it to the other
21
      lawyers in the case?
22
               MR. CHRISTIAN: It's in the binders. Tab 55.
23
               MR. STERN: And I'm seeing it for the first time.
24
     But I have no issue with timelines.
25
               THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Erickson, any concern?
```

```
1
               MR. ERICKSON: One moment, Your Honor.
 2
               THE COURT: And when we say -- I think we talked
 3
      about this. But it's been a while. A demonstrative exhibit
 4
      is not evidence that can prove a fact in the case. But it's
 5
      used to -- when there's a lot of evidence, it's used to sort
 6
      of demonstrate where we are. What do we have so far.
 7
               So it's not something you can take back into the jury
 8
      room during your deliberations, but it's a guide to what the
 9
      evidence has shown so far.
10
               MR. ERICKSON: No objection.
11
               THE COURT: Okay. So go ahead.
12
               MR. CHRISTIAN: Starting with a blank slate.
     BY MR. CHRISTIAN:
13
14
        So I'd like you to look back to the first page of this
15
     exhibit, if you would.
16
               MR. CHRISTIAN: And if we could actually put that up.
17
      So what I'm going to say is on the first column, the
18
      second-to-last note -- okay.
19
               THE COURT: So what he's looking at is different from
20
      what's -- oh, I see.
21
               MR. CHRISTIAN: So it's on the first page.
22
               THE COURT: Give us the number of this.
23
               MR. CHRISTIAN: This was just admitted as VNA trial
24
      Exhibit 2756A.
25
               THE COURT: Okay.
```

```
BY MR. CHRISTIAN:
 2
         And I'd like to you direct you to the second-to-last
 3
     comment in the first column.
 4
               Would you please read that for the members of the
 5
     jury, please?
 6
          "Governor put Earley here and Kurtz and Brown."
 7
          Do you know Mr. Ambrose's name there?
 8
         No.
     Α.
 9
         And Mr. Ambrose became emergency manager in January of
     2015?
10
11
         Yes.
     Α.
12
        So this document, these notes are from before February of
     2015 --
13
               THE COURT: I don't think we can go that far.
14
                                                               This
15
      -- these are handwritten notes at a community meeting.
      don't think that gives us the date.
16
17
               But let's see if the witness knows the date of these
18
      meeting minutes or your handwritten notes of the meeting.
19
               THE WITNESS: Yeah. And I don't -- I don't know the
      date.
20
             I agree, Your Honor, that just because a citizen
21
      doesn't include something. What I did see, though, in the top
22
      of the left column --
23
               THE COURT: Okay.
```

"We wouldn't know anything if the DEQ didn't order it."

24

25

THE WITNESS: -- the first from the second item is,

```
I'm pretty sure that that was referring to the DEQ's
 2
      order to issue the notice of TTHM violation under the Safe
 3
      Drinking Water Act.
 4
               THE COURT: There you go.
 5
               THE WITNESS: So I believe -- I believe these notes
 6
      were sometime January 2015 or later.
 7
               THE COURT: That's a good way to date these.
 8
               THE WITNESS: I don't know where you put it on your
 9
      timeline.
10
               MR. CHRISTIAN:
                               So --
11
               THE WITNESS: But it's after the DEQ notice. When
12
      that DEQ -- when that notice went out, you know, the concern
13
      in the community just hit what I thought was a ceiling and
14
      then we found out there's more ceilings above that.
15
     BY MR. CHRISTIAN:
16
         Sure. So you mentioned that the -- something about the
     resident, what the resident put.
17
18
               These notes about -- these notes are in your
19
     handwriting, correct?
20
     Α.
        Yes.
21
          So this is what you wrote when you were talking to the
22
     residents, correct?
23
          I was listening to residents. This was a group.
```

a meeting of some kind. And I tend to have better notes in

meetings where I'm not a primary person. If I'm doing a lot

24

of, kind of, talking or explaining, I tend to not have a lot of notes.

I was taking notes, and it may have even been with Mr. Ambrose. And the person might have even been -- I'm speculating -- but they might have been expressing themselves to Mr. Ambrose and saying these other names of people who had come before.

I was taking notes throughout this meeting.

- Q. Sure. So just to be clear, you mentioned the MDEQ TTHM notice, right?
- 11 A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 12 Q. As that's kind of a framing point for time?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 \parallel Q. That notice went out in October of 2014; is that correct?
- A. The first meeting I was at where I became aware of it was at that time.
- 17 Q. And when did the --
- A. The notice went out, I think the city put it in the mail
 right before the new year, and the post office said residents
 are going to be getting it in the first few days of January of
- 21 2015.
- Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that by May of 2014, you started receiving citizens' complaints about the changeover?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So we're going to add that to our timeline.

And is it fair to say you didn't get these type of complaints when Flint was using the DWSD water?

- A. There were a lot -- new complaints about the water. So I would have continued to receive complaints about water costs.
- People would, you know, be just concerned about the cost in general. Like, "I don't like my bill being \$180 a year."

Or someone would come in with a very specific bill like, "Mayor, you know, what can you do to help me? My bill is now \$1,600, because I haven't been able to pay it."

So those complaints would have been throughout my entire time in office. So the cost comments were throughout.

But the new complaints were about the discoloration, the smell. That's what was coming out in May of 2014.

- Q. Starting in May of 2014?
- 15 A. Yeah. Starting. Those were the new kinds of complaints
 16 after the switch.
- Q. And despite these complaints, in 2014, there was not a switch back to DWSD; is that correct?
- 19 A. Collect.

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- Q. So I'd like to direct your attention to the -- August of 21 2014. Yeah. 2014.
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. On August 15 of 2014, Flint issued a boil water advisory;
 is that correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

```
I'd like you to turn to Tab 6 in your notebook. You'll
 2
     see what has been marked for identification as VNA trial
 3
     Exhibit 618.
               You've seen this document before; is that correct?
 4
 5
     Α.
        Yes.
 6
               MR. CHRISTIAN: Your Honor, I move to admit VNA 618
 7
      into evidence.
 8
               MR. STERN: No objection.
 9
               MR. ERICKSON: No objection.
10
               THE COURT: Okay. It's received.
11
        (VNA Exhibit No. 618 Admitted Into Evidence.)
12
     BY MR. CHRISTIAN:
13
        So this is a boil water advisory that Flint issued on
14
     August 15 of 2015; is that correct?
15
        Yes.
     Α.
16
     Q. And it's signed at the bottom by whom?
17
     A. That is Brent Wright, plant supervisor.
18
        And let's take a look at what it says. And let's go here.
     So the heading says, "Localized Drinking Water Warning."
19
20
               And it says below that, "A localized area of the City
     of Flint water is contaminated with fecal coliform."
21
22
               What -- you were here -- you were in Flint at the
23
     time, correct?
24
     Α.
         Yes.
25
          What, to your knowledge, is fecal coliform bacteria?
```

- A. It's a bacteria that can appear in a water system. It's something that's routinely tested for at multiple sites throughout the city.
 - Q. Any -- what is the source of this type of bacteria?
- A. I think it has to do with something that may have been in the water, but then due to lack of chlorination, has, like, grown in the water as it's moved through these systems to a point where it can be, you know, can be tested, can be picked up by a test.
 - Q. Thank you.

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

So let's go a little further down from where we first read. And it starts -- quotes that starts with, "Fecal coliforms and E. Coli are bacteria whose presence indicates that water may be contaminated with human or animal wastes"; is that correct?

- A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Did I read that correctly?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. Then it says, "Microbes in these wastes can cause diarrhea, cramps, nausea, headaches, or other symptoms."
- 21 Did I read that correctly?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And then it says that, "These microbes may pose a special risk for infants, young children, some of the elderly, and people with severely compromised immune systems."

Did I read that correctly? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Now, to be sure, this wasn't a boil water advisory for the 4 whole city, right? 5 It was not. These notices would be accompanied by a map 6 that would show the affected area that would be the kind of 7 thing that would show up on an evening news, City of Flint's 8 issue to boil water advisory. It would show the area. 9 That's what the "localized" means, I believe. And how did the City of Flint -- I mean, you say the 10 11 evening news. 12 How did the City of Flint disseminate this information? 13 This would go out through required media channels. 14 15 that Brent Wright is attesting that it complies with public 16 notice requirements. 17 I don't think -- at least when these are initially 18 issued, there's not an individual, like, kind of household 19 mailing that was occurring. 20 The area would be, as it says, there would be chlorine, there would be flushing. Once they get clear tests 21 22 for either, you know, some period of time, they have to do 23 multiple tests. They have to be clear. 24 And then the boil water advisory is lifted. I 25 believe this advisory was in place for, you know, a few days.

```
MR. CHRISTIAN: So we're going to add to our timeline
 2
      the August 15, 2014, boil water advisory.
 3
     BY MR. CHRISTIAN:
         So I'd like to turn your attention now to Tab 7, which is
 4
 5
     marked for identification as VNA trial Exhibit 627.
 6
               Do you recognize this, Mr. Walling?
 7
        Yes.
     Α.
 8
               MR. CHRISTIAN: And, Your Honor, we move to admit VNA
 9
      Exhibit 627 into evidence.
10
               MR. STERN: No objection.
11
               MR. ERICKSON: No objection.
12
               THE COURT: Okay. It's received.
13
        (VNA Exhibit No. 627 Admitted Into Evidence.)
14
     BY MR. CHRISTIAN:
15
        So what is this, Mr. Walling?
        It's very similar notice. I did also note that even
16
17
     though I said a map, that earlier exhibit we looked at did give
18
     streets. Cause that's in bold on this advisory, so that caught
19
     my attention. It provided the area, the streets that were
20
     affected.
21
          So this is another boil water advisory, Exhibit 627?
22
     Α.
          Yes.
23
          And what is the date of that boil water advisory?
24
     Α.
          This one is September 6, 2014.
          So the next month avenue the previous one we saw?
```

```
A. Yes.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

- Q. And so like the first boil water advisory, and you just said it's limited to a particular area.
- I imagine if you look at this, you can tell us kind of the general area it is?
- A. Yeah. The first notice was more west. This second notice is more north.
- 8 Q. Now, the cause of this --
 - A. It does involve some of the west area. I think a larger in this second one. Flushing and Chevrolet. And then updated to be ML King and Pasadena.
 - The testing would be occurring at these multiple sites. So if there was a problem in one area, there would be additional testing in those surrounding sites to determine.
 - So it looks like in this second case, there may have been an initial finding and then a second, which is why it had to be updated.
 - Q. So this second boil water advisory is for total coliform bacteria, right?
- 20 A. Yes, that is what it said. Yes.
 - Q. And if you look towards the bottom of the document, the document says, "Usually coliforms are a sign that there could be a problem with the treatment or distribution system (pipes)."
- 25 Did I read that correctly?

- A. Yes.
- 2 \blacksquare Q. And at the time of these boil water advisories, the
- 3 memergency manager of Flint was Darnell Earley; is that correct?
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. And based on working with Mr. Earley, this is something
- 6 that he would be aware of, correct?
- 7 A. Yes. These occurred in a number of times when I was in
- 8 office. I believe every time mayor, emergency manager would
- 9 know about this when -- when that was identified, yes.
- 10 Q. And upon -- and after learning about these boil water
- 11 advisories, Mr. Earley did not try to switch -- or try to
- 12 switch back to DWSD, did he?
- 13 A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. Did you ever hear him say he wanted to switch back to DWSD
- 15 after this?
- 16 A. I did not.
- Q. And just to be clear, at this point in time, VNA was not
- 18 working in Flint; is that correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. Now, you mentioned TTHMs before you testified about it
- 21 | yesterday. I believe you mentioned MDA -- MDEQ issuing
- 22 something about TTHMs, issuing an alert?
- 23 A. Yeah --
- 24 THE COURT: Just a minute. Let him answer the
- 25 question.

```
THE WITNESS: I was just going to say the city had to
 1
 2
      issue the notice under the order of the MDEQ.
 3
               MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you for clarifying that.
     BY MR. CHRISTIAN:
 4
 5
         And just for clarity, TTHM stands for total
 6
     trihalomethane; is that correct?
 7
         Yes.
     Α.
 8
        You read the alerts and orders that went out, correct?
 9
         Yes.
     Α.
10
        And they had it spelled out total trihalomethane; is that
11
     correct?
12
     Α.
        Yes.
13
         And if we're going too much further, and I think you may
14
     have testified about this. The fact that a water system has
15
     TTHM is not an indication of whether or not it has lead; is
16
     that correct?
17
               MR. STERN: Objection. Foundation.
18
               THE COURT:
                          Overruled. He either knows or doesn't
19
      know.
20
               THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of a connection between
21
      those two things.
22
     BY MR. CHRISTIAN:
23
        And, in fact, in the notice that went out from the city,
24
     do you recall about TTHMs was the word "lead" mentioned?
```

We could look at that -- not that I recall. There's a lot

- of fine print in those notices. And there's a lot of standard language, I understand, from the Safe Drinking Water Act. But
- Q. So in October of 2014 -- and we talked about it a few times -- you learn about the City of Flint being out of
- 6 compliance with the Safe Drinking Water Act with respect to
- 7 TTHMs; is that correct?
- A. I understood that after some lab results were certified,
 the city would go out of compliance with the TTHM contaminant
- 10 level in the Safe Drinking Water Act.

I don't recall that lead was there.

- Q. And you first learned about that fact or that there was a potential TTHM problem from the MDEQ; is that correct?
- 13 A. Yes.

- 14 \parallel Q. You didn't hear -- this is in October of 2014.
- It was months after Mr. Earley's order that you have day-to-day operations or responsibilities with respect to the
- 17 Department of Public Works; is that correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And you were having weekly meetings with Mr. Croft?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Mr. Croft did not tell you about the TTHM problem; is that
- 22 correct?
- 23 A. He did not.
- 24 Q. Not before the MDEQ at least?
- 25 A. Right. He was there in that meeting. That was a group

- meeting that I was a part of along with Mr. Croft, the
 emergency manager, personnel from Michigan Department of
 Environmental Quality. That's where I learned about it.
- Q. So -- and at that meeting -- and it may seem repetitive -
 VNA was not at that meeting, correct?
- 6 A. Correct.

10

- Q. And that time, October -- how many months is that, about four months before VNA signed its contract on February 10?
 - A. Yes. It might have been the end -- it might have been more the middle or the end of the month, yeah. I mean, three, three and a half, four months.
- Q. So by November of 2014, as you've testified to, LAN had put together at the city's request a document analyzing the TTHM issue; is that correct?
- A. Yes. That was the draft evaluation report I believe is how it was labeled.
- Q. So let's just turn to Tab 8, which is Plaintiffs'

 Exhibit 2012.
- Does that look familiar to you?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 \mathbb{Q} . And is that the LAN document that we just spoke of?
- 22 A. Yes. Although, I believe the earlier one was titled
- 23 "Draft." I think this one maybe was just a bit updated from
- 24 that, but it's from right about that same time. It says
- 25 November 2014.

```
And did there come a time when Flint received an official
 2
     letter from the MDEQ that it was in violation of TTHM limits?
 3
     Α.
          Yes.
 4
     Q. Let's turn to Tab 9, please.
 5
               You'll see what has been premarked for identification
 6
     as VNA trial Exhibit 1906.
 7
               You've seen this before; is that correct?
 8
        Yes.
     Α.
 9
        And this is the official MDEQ TTHM violation notice; is
10
     that right?
11
        Yes.
12
               MR. CHRISTIAN: Your Honor, we move to admit VNA
      Exhibit 1906 into evidence.
13
14
               MR. STERN: No objection.
15
               MR. ERICKSON: No objection.
               THE COURT: Okay. It's received.
16
17
        (VNA Exhibit No. 1906 Admitted Into Evidence.)
18
     BY MR. CHRISTIAN:
19
          Would you please turn to the last page of this document?
20
     Α.
          The important information about your drinking water?
21
     Q.
          Yes.
22
          I see.
     Α.
23
          On this page, this is a sample form that is used to notify
24
     the public of a TTHM violation; is that correct?
```

MR. STERN: Objection. Foundation.

```
THE COURT: Well, if you know.
 1
 2
               Do you know this sample forms?
 3
               THE WITNESS: I don't know. I mean, it looks like
 4
      one to me, but I don't think I would maybe know the
 5
      difference.
 6
     BY MR. CHRISTIAN:
 7
        So let's take a look at the bottom. There's a line above
     certification.
 8
 9
               Do you see that?
         Yes. And I see. Maybe this is what's attached actually
10
11
     to the MDEQ. So maybe the MDEQ is providing this to the city
12
     as part of this notice, yep.
13
        Okay. So let's look to the middle of the page where
     there's italic text. It says in quotes -- or it says, "People
14
15
     who drink water containing trihalomethanes in excess of the MCL
     over many years may experience problems with their liver,
16
17
     kidneys, and central nervous system, and may have an increased
18
     risk of getting cancer."
19
               Did I read that correctly?
20
     Α.
        Yes.
21
               MR. CHRISTIAN: So we're going to put the December
22
      16, 2014, TTHM violation notice on our timeline.
23
     BY MR. CHRISTIAN:
24
        Now, you testified about the potential problems associated
25
     with TTHMs, and if I recall correctly -- and you correct me if
```

- A. Yes. I took this -- I took very, very seriously.
- Q. And despite that, the City of Flint did not reconnect to DWSD water; is that correct? At the time.
 - A. It didn't. It was -- I took this very seriously. And I also was trying to follow what I understood the guidance and the science to be.

So I noticed in the second bullet -- you had me read the first bullet or you read it.

The second bullet says, "You do not need to boil your water or take other corrective actions. If a situation arises where the water is no longer safe to drink, you will be notified within 24 hours."

So it was a case where there was a very high level of concern. The guidance was also that residents could, you know, safely continue to use the water unless there was another notification. That's what I did in my household. That's how I understood it.

Q. So we just heard a bit of information about what happened in 2014 from the time of the switch in April through the end of the year. Just want to recap a couple of things.

The complaints started almost immediately after the switch; is that correct?

25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And the nature of the complaints smell, taste?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. Odor?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And those complaints persisted through the rest of your
- 6 time as mayor; is that correct?
- 7 A. Yes. Until that very -- that very end. I mean, that
- 8 very, very end --
- 9 Q. Very, very end.
- 10 A. -- with the switch back to Detroit.
- 11 Q. And did they stop immediately after the switch back to
- 12 Detroit?
- 13 A. No. I don't -- well, I don't remember getting complaints
- 14 in just those last couple of weeks that I was in office along
- 15 those lines.
- 16 Q. And you had an August boil advisory?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And a September boil advisory?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And then there was a TTHM violation notice?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 \parallel Q. And at the end -- by the end of 2014, still no switch back
- 23 to DWSD?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- 25 Q. And VNA had no role in any of this, correct?

```
1 A. Correct. Not at all in 2014.
```

- 2 Q. So I'd like to direct your attention to another topic now.
- 3 Yesterday, I believe it was you -- or earlier, you testified
- 4 about General Motors and its use of the water from the Flint
- 5 River.
- 6 First of all --
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. -- at the time when you were mayor of Flint in 2014, how
- 9 many factories did GM have in Flint?
- 10 A. Four or five.
- 11 Q. So four or five?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. I'm going to show you a document that's been marked as VNA
- 14 Exhibit 5454I. It's Tab 10.
- 15 A. Okay.
- 16 Q. So this is an email dated October 13 of 2014, correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And you're a recipient of this email?
- 19 A. Yes. I'm CC'd.
- 20 Q. So you're copied on it?
- 21 A. Yes.
- MR. CHRISTIAN: Your Honor, we move to admit VNA
- 23 Exhibit 5454I into evidence.
- 24 MR. STERN: No objection.
- MR. ERICKSON: No objection.

```
1
               THE COURT: Okay. It's received.
 2
        (VNA Exhibit No. 5454I Admitted Into Evidence.)
 3
     BY MR. CHRISTIAN:
 4
        And if we look at the other recipients of this email,
 5
     would you just please tell the jury who they were, their names,
 6
     rather?
 7
               THE COURT: There are several emails on here.
 8
               Which one are you talking about?
 9
     BY MR. CHRISTIAN:
         Okay. So let's start -- let's just start -- I believe,
10
11
     let's start at the earliest email, which would be at the middle
12
     of the page roughly. Slightly above?
13
               MR. STERN: Your Honor, I think the earliest email is
14
      actually at the bottom of page 1. It starts with the words
15
      "Pete" and then goes into page 2.
16
               MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Stern.
               THE COURT: Yeah. That's what I show.
17
18
     BY MR. CHRISTIAN:
19
        So at the furthest -- at the lowest to-line on this page,
20
     would you please list the recipients?
21
        So we have on the screen you have up there, the Liz Murphy
22
     or -- she wasn't CC'd. Elizabeth or Liz Murphy, assistant to
23
     the emergency manager.
                            And then above that name, Howard Croft,
24
     public works director.
25
               I was looking at the screen. Is that where you were
```

```
1 asking me about?
```

- Q. Just -- we're just trying to figure out who received this
- 3 -- who was in this email thread.
- 4 A. Right. So I mentioned Elizabeth Murphy. I believe every
- 5 other name on here is up at the very top of page 1.
- 6 Q. Okay. So this included Emergency Manager Earley?
- 7 A. Yes. It was -- at the top, this is the item sent from Tom
- 8 Wickham, who's Flint manufacturing communications, so in Flint.
- 9 And he's sending this email to Darnell Earley and Elizabeth or
- 10 Liz Murphy.
- And then we have a group of people CC'd. Howard
- 12 Croft, public works; Pete Bade, city attorney; myself; Jason
- 13 Lorenz, public information officer; Gerald Ambrose as finance
- 14 director. Daugherty Johnson utilities administrator. Anthony
- 15 chub was, I believe, a deputy city attorney at that time.
- 16 Q. So let's look at the bottom of the screen. There's a
- 17 | paragraph that says, "The City of Flint has honored" -- begins
- 18 with, "The City of Flint has honored."
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Would you please read that for the jury?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 This is from Elizabeth Murphy. "I changed a couple
- 23 of phrases. The City of Flint has honored a request by General
- 24 | Motors in allowing them to divert a portion of their water
- 25 supply needs to a different supplier for internal processing

purposes.

"GM is the only company which has made a request to temporarily use a different water source. General Motors spokesperson Tom Wickham can be reached" -- do you want me to read his number into the record?

- Q. Thank you for asking. No, thank you.
- A. That's good enough?
- Q. That's fine. Thank you, so much.

And this language you just read, from looking at the email, what was the purpose of that language?

A. This was -- so Tom Wickham was communications for the Flint manufacturing complex. Three manufacturing complexes on the city's southwest side. It was an engine company. They do engines -- I really should know. Engines, truck assembly. And there's one other.

So Tom worked on those three facilities called Flint manufacturing -- the Flint manufacturing complex. And this looks like an email chain that were working on a pretrial release.

So I think that's why Liz is saying, you know, "I changed a couple of phrases." Looks like -- there must have been some language that was shared, either in this email chain or in a separate chain about preparing a press release.

Q. And in this language, the first line we see allowing them to divert a portion of their water supply.

```
So is it your recollection that GM diverted all of
 2
     their water supply away from the Flint River or only some of
 3
     it?
 4
          It's my recollection that it was one plant in the Flint
 5
     manufacturing complex that disconnected from what had been its
 6
     water supply, which was the City of Flint maintained portion.
 7
     And it connected to a nearby pipe from the Genesee County Drain
 8
     Commissioner's purview.
 9
               And that water continued to be from DWSD.
          So to be clear, only one out of four or five plants
10
11
     switched to --
12
          That's my recollection. The other facility is on a
```

- different side of town over by the current Kettering
 University. The tool and die plant. And I believe it was the
 engine plant that had this issue.
- Q. Okay. So a couple of days later on October of -October 16 of 2014, the City of Flint put out a press release
 about this.

Do you recall?

20 A. That sounds right.

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. Okay. So let's take a look at Tab 11, which is VNA Exhibit 5454J.
- Do you recognize this?
- 24 A. Yes. Yes, I do.
- 25 \parallel Q. And did you see this at the time?

```
Α.
 1
          Yes.
 2
               MR. CHRISTIAN: Your Honor, we move to admit VNA
 3
      Exhibit 5454J into evidence.
 4
               MR. STERN: No objection.
 5
               MR. ERICKSON: No objection.
 6
               THE COURT: Okay. It's received.
 7
        (VNA Exhibit No. 5454J Admitted Into Evidence.)
     BY MR. CHRISTIAN:
 8
 9
          So would you please look at the first paragraph of this
10
     press release.
11
        Yes.
12
     Q. And it describes an agreement with General Motors to allow
13
     the company to temporarily use Flint township water for a water
     source for manufacturing needs.
14
15
               Was there some sort of a process where an agreement
16
     was reached?
17
     A. Yes. I think over a couple week period.
18
     Q. And then let's look at the next paragraph.
19
               And were you a part of those negotiations,
20
     Mr. Walling?
21
        No, not the negotiations. But I was, as you saw in that
22
     last email, I was looped in on what the -- on the developments
23
     were. And then when the public communication was being
24
     prepared.
25
               I continued to have a lot of those external
```

```
connections, and people would contact me. So it was easier if

knew in advance.
```

But you do see this -- you look at different letterhead throughout this time. This letterhead was selected to be City of Flint Office of Emergency Manager. So this was a statement from Mr. Earley. I was aware of it.

- Q. And so if we look at the paragraph that is now highlighted, the next paragraph from what you just read, would you please just read that for the record?
- A. Yes.

"The City of Flint uses chloride as an important component in making water safe for drinking. But that can cause problems for GM's machining work. The agreement comes after GM raised concerns about chloride levels in Flint's treated water."

- Q. Now, what, if any, knowledge do you have about what machining work is?
- A. Well, I think they're just meaning that that's a place where they're actually producing parts. So we're most famous for that big Flint truck assembly plant. The engine plant is actually doing, I believe, some part machining of those parts.

So it's trying to, I think, be specific about GM does lots of things in this community. This is about what's happening with the machining work in one plant.

Q. So just going to the next paragraph.

```
And let me ask you, did you -- what, if any, role did
 2
     you have in terms of editing the language in this press
 3
     release?
 4
         I don't remember. I don't recall that I had any edits or
 5
     revisions to this. Again, I was in the loop. But it reflects
 6
     what I understood was the issue from General Motors.
 7
     worked with Tom Wickham in other capacities over the years.
                                                                   Ι
 8
     believe I was in maybe one meeting where it was discussed.
 9
               So this was Mr. Earley's statement. But from what I
10
     knew, this was what the city understood.
11
        So just -- if you read the first sentence of this
12
     paragraph?
13
         "This temporary switch is needed to allow GM to use water
14
     that does not have the same level of treatment provided for
15
     high quality drinking water."
16
               MR. CHRISTIAN: So, Your Honor, we're getting ready
     to switch sections. So I don't know if this is a point in
17
18
     which you're --
19
               THE COURT: This looks like a good time to take a
20
     break. So -- or to end for the day. Sorry. I didn't mean to
21
     startle the jury.
22
               So we will conclude for the day. We'll be back at it
23
     at 9:00 A.M. in the morning.
24
               And so you'll be returning, Mr. Walling. And we will
25
      take it from there.
```

```
Please rise for the jury. And you remember
 2
      everything I've told you every other day.
 3
                                (Jury Out)
 4
               THE COURT: You can step down, Mr. Earley or
 5
      Mr. Walling. There's two L's in there.
 6
               Then what I'd like to do is have a sidebar conference
 7
      -- please be seated. Conference. Well, we can start --
 8
               MR. STERN: I just want to -- if I could just let
 9
      Mr. Walling know that it's been the Court's practice we do not
10
      have Court on Fridays.
               THE WITNESS: No?
11
12
               MR. STERN: So to the extent he wants to punch me.
13
               THE COURT: We have other work to do on Friday to try
14
      to catch up around here, and I'm sure everyone else, too. So
15
      we won't be in session on Friday.
               I don't know how much longer.
16
17
               Mr. Christian, do you think you have a lot more to
18
      go?
19
               MR. CHRISTIAN: Oh, yes. There's quite a bit more,
20
      Your Honor.
21
               THE COURT: And then, Mr. Erickson, will you have a
22
      lot of material?
23
               MR. ERICKSON: Your Honor, it's hard to say. I'm
24
      going to be reevaluating this evening.
25
               THE COURT: Okay. So it would be my guess it would
```

be possible you would be here all day tomorrow and potentially

```
2
      a little bit on Monday.
 3
               THE WITNESS: Next week Monday through Thursday,
 4
     right?
 5
               THE COURT: Yeah. Okay. Thank you for your
 6
     patience.
 7
               MR. MAIMON: On that note, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: Yes.
 8
 9
               MR. MAIMON: And, obviously, we're not looking to
      interrupt or interfere with counsel's examination. We had
10
11
     Aundreya Teed's mother scheduled for tomorrow. If we're not
12
      going to get to her, I'm going to call her and tell her, "You
13
     know, don't bother coming down."
               What's that?
14
15
               MS. BUSH: Oh, I got it. Aundreya. April.
16
               MR. MAIMON: Yes. Apricot.
17
               MR. STERN: Aundreya Teed's one of our plaintiffs.
18
                          I can't imagine that if we have
               THE COURT:
19
     Mr. Walling back tomorrow that we'll get to your next witness.
20
               MR. MAIMON: And that's fair enough. Because, you
21
     know, obviously we want to keep things going. If all of a
22
      sudden we're done at 11:00, and I don't -- it doesn't seem so.
23
     But that's up to the defendants. I don't want to be caught
24
     short. That's all.
25
               THE COURT: What do you think, Mr. Christian?
```

```
MR. CHRISTIAN: If I were telling him based on what
 2
     we have left, I would advise him not to call that witness
 3
      tomorrow.
 4
               THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, that's
 5
     definitive.
 6
               MR. MAIMON: I appreciate it. Thank you.
 7
               THE COURT: Good. Okay. I wanted -- I just wanted
 8
     to draw one thing to your attention that I'm curious about. I
 9
     saw a copy of a Tweet from Veolia Flint facts indicating that
     one of the witnesses in our case is hiding behind lies and
10
11
     hiding behind silence.
12
               And I'm not sure if there's a problem. I mean,
     that's a Tweet -- I don't know. Is that a Veolia North
13
14
     America official statement regarding the trial?
15
              Are they tweeting the trial? Or what do you know,
16
     Mr. Christian?
17
              MR. CHRISTIAN: I'm not aware of that statement, Your
18
     Honor.
19
               THE COURT: Mr. Stein, are you aware? I can give you
20
     the exhibit. It's the last page of Governor Snyder's request
21
      for interlocutory appeal.
22
               MR. STEIN: I saw it attached to Governor Snyder's
23
     papers.
24
               THE COURT: Yeah.
25
               MR. STEIN: I hadn't seen it before that.
```

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
THE COURT: See, model rule of professional conduct
3.6A provides that a lawyer who is participating or has
participated in the investigation of litigation -- or
litigation of a matter shall not make an extra judicial
statement that the lawyer knows or reasonably should know will
be disseminated.
        And I'm not suggesting that any of you made this
statement. It's -- or that, you know, I don't know who made
it. But -- and the comment to the rule suggests that that
applies to making a statement about a witness's identity of a
witness or expected testimony of a party or a witness.
        And this is an expected witness.
         So I just wanted to know whether counsel was involved
in this.
         MR. STEIN: I saw it in Governor Snyder's papers for
the first time. And I don't know of any --
         THE COURT: My experience thus far in our case is
there are many more lawyers than are in court. There are
layers and layers of lawyers, and I think we've got at least
two law firms involved for Veolia.
         It does seem -- I would like to just know that other
lawyers on your team are not tweeting about a witness, the
identity of witnesses, expected testimony and so on.
        MR. STEIN: We'll look into it and get back to the
Court.
```

```
THE COURT: Okay. And then we can sort of sort it
 2
      out if that's appropriate.
 3
               I'm interested in anything on the schedule for April
 4
      that you all have agreed that you need a day off, or are we --
 5
               MR. MAIMON: So I don't think we've -- Mr. Campbell
 6
      approached me during the break and asked me what exactly was
 7
      it that we were referring to.
 8
               And I just told him that for me personally the 18th
 9
      of April and the 21st of April, more the 21st than the 18th,
10
      but both are somewhat problematic for me. It's the Passover
11
      holiday around then. And just traveling back and forth would
12
      be problematic.
13
               THE COURT: Yeah.
14
               MR. MAIMON: Again, I don't know that a decision has
15
      to be made right now, Your Honor. If, for instance -- and I
16
      told Mr. Campbell and I was meaning to speak with Mr. Mason
      after we concluded court today.
17
18
               If one of those days happens to be a day when we're
19
      going to be showing video and there's no other problem, I
20
      don't -- I wouldn't want to get in the way of that. I would
      like to be here when we have live witnesses.
21
22
               THE COURT: Okay.
23
               MR. MAIMON: Especially if they're witnesses that I'm
24
      going to be putting on.
25
               THE COURT: Okay. Well, I'll let you talk a little
```

```
bit more about it --
 1
 2
               MR. MAIMON: Thank you.
 3
               THE COURT: -- and then I will take a look, too.
 4
               And then the last thing for today is the --
 5
      Mr. Maimon, are plaintiffs making -- what would be helpful to
 6
      me in deciding this issue is if there's a motion before the
 7
      Court for the live video feed testimony for your witness,
      Mr. Del Toral?
 8
 9
               MR. MAIMON: Yes. We'll prepare that and submit
10
      that.
11
               THE COURT: You can make it orally. I don't need
12
      it --
13
               MR. MAIMON: Okay.
14
               THE COURT: I understand.
15
               MR. MAIMON: So then if I may, Your Honor?
16
               THE COURT: Yes.
               MR. MAIMON: Plaintiffs hereby move pursuant to Rule
17
18
      43 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for asking the
19
      Court to exercise its discretion to allow Mr. Miguel Del Toral
20
      to appear as a witness by videoconferencing, whether it's Zoom
21
      or any other mechanism that facilities that and allows it in
22
      the most effective way.
23
               As indicated yesterday, Mr. Del Toral has personal
24
      concerns with regard to the COVID-19 pandemic.
25
               THE COURT: And I don't want argument on the motion
```

```
right now. I've gotten sort of quasi-briefing in a sense.
 2
      I've gotten Mr. Kent's article. I heard some argument
 3
      yesterday from Mr. Campbell and others.
 4
               MR. MAIMON: So that's our motion, Your Honor, to
 5
     allow us for the reasons stated yesterday and those under the
 6
     case law to allow us to do that.
 7
               MR. CAMPBELL: Your Honor, just to be clear, if you
     don't mind.
 8
 9
               THE COURT: No, I don't mind.
10
               MR. CAMPBELL: My statement was as to my experience
11
     doing this in courtrooms, and it was problematic. And that
12
     was not yesterday. It wasn't this year. It wasn't in the era
13
     of Zoom. So my concern is that if this happens, we need to
14
     make sure that it works.
15
               THE COURT: Well, this is what I want to do, which is
16
      that I want to -- you sent me three cases. There were cases
17
     referenced in Mr. Kent's article. I want to take a chance to
18
     review those further.
               But one thing that struck me, which is why I wanted
19
20
     to do this at sidebar, and I just want to confirm with Bill
21
      that it's not being recorded or broadcast.
22
               I recall early on, prior to, I think, the motions for
23
      summary judgment, that Mr. Del Toral's deposition was -- had
24
     some portions redacted related to a health concern.
```

MR. MAIMON: So I don't think that it actually ended

```
up being redacted. I know that there was a concern because
 2
      another EPA official in a deposition that preceded his had
 3
      disclosed that he had some medical condition and disclosed
      what it was.
 4
 5
               But at the deposition itself, I think that when that
 6
      issue was raised with Mr. Del Toral, his words were, "I'm an
 7
      open book, and I have nothing to hide."
 8
               THE COURT: Okay.
 9
               MR. MAIMON: Not that we think that any of that is
      relevant. But I don't think --
10
11
               THE COURT: Well, here's the relevance to me.
12
               What was the medical condition?
               MR. MAIMON: So he -- I believe, he suffered from a
13
14
      bipolar disorder and took a leave of absence sometime in July
15
      of 2015, July and August of 2015.
16
               MR. CHRISTIAN: Your Honor, similar, I think it's
17
      schizophrenia.
18
               THE COURT: I think it is, too. I recall reading
19
      that it was schizophrenia. And yesterday, it just so happened
20
      that National Public Radio did a story on four or five studies
21
      that have revealed that after age, schizophrenia is the
22
      highest risk factor for death from COVID.
23
               The study came out of Israel, South Africa, Korea,
24
      England, and the United -- it was something like that.
25
      send it all to you.
```

```
And that -- I listened with special interest, because
 2
      I recalled that that's what Mr. Del Toral testified to having
 3
      a diagnosis for.
 4
               But in light of that, that seems like a medical
 5
      condition.
 6
               So -- but do I understand you correctly that it's
 7
      COVID is the reason he doesn't want to travel, his concern?
 8
               MR. MAIMON: That's exactly right. We've discussed
 9
      alternative ways of travel, and he just won't travel here.
10
               THE COURT: Yeah. Okay. All right.
11
               I think, Mr. Kent, did you have something or
      Mr. Mason?
12
13
               MR. MASON: Your Honor, depending on where you come
      out, we believe that there are -- and the advisory committee
14
15
      has given some guidance with respect to the interpretation of
      this.
16
17
               But not to argue the motion, I would just say if you
18
      do decide to go forward with this, I would respectfully
19
      request the opportunity to appear in person with him to take
20
      the examination in person.
21
               I think that we are getting so far afield of the
22
      ability to confront witnesses live in courtrooms that we
23
      cannot set a precedent of having to do it Zoom. The jurors
24
      have to be here in person. Are we going to allow them to Zoom
25
      in, in the future, too? So --
```

THE COURT: Well, no. Mr. Mason, no, we're not going

```
2
      to allow the jurors to Zoom in. That's why we're doing it
 3
      here with a COVID protocol in place as an order of the court.
               And if I understand, COVID-19, it's a virus that is
 4
 5
      transmitted through respiratory droplets or whatever. And if
 6
      Mr. Del Toral doesn't want to drive here from Virginia --
 7
               MR. STERN:
                          Chicago.
 8
               MR. MAIMON: Chicago.
 9
               THE COURT: -- Chicago go to be in person with us, I
10
      cannot -- I cannot imagine that he wants to be in a room,
11
      small room with you or a large room.
12
               MR. MASON: Well, then I think we should be able to
      voir dire him over Zoom to find out whether he is out and
13
14
      about, where he lives. We're taking this presumption that he
15
      lives in a bubble because of his concerns.
               But if, in fact, he does get out on a regular basis
16
17
      and is around people and goes to restaurants and things like
18
      that, I think that's valuable information for Your Honor to be
19
      able to decide this issue of whether it is indeed
20
      extraordinary circumstances.
21
               If his schizophrenia and fear of COVID is such that
22
      he essentially does not ever get around people, that's
23
      certainly relevant. But if he is not, then at a minimum, you
24
      know, I'm fully vaccinated. We'll wear a mask. We'll social
25
      distance.
```

But at a minimum, I think that's relevant information for Your Honor to consider.

THE COURT: Well, and also if there's further argument you want to make at this point, what I anticipate doing is issuing a very short written opinion on the subject. So if there is more argument that is to be had, feel free to make it.

But what I would do in the event I grant the motion, we would set up a time to test the technology and to make sure that we can hear him. He can hear us. He can see you. And that the exhibit can be easily -- Mr. Campbell, I think or maybe you did, brought up the issue of you don't want to send your exhibits ahead of time to the witness?

MR. MASON: Yeah.

THE COURT: That's a fair -- a fair concern. So I want to make sure that he can see exhibits that are put in front of him if they're put on either the screen or an ELMO, whatever you prefer.

MR. MAIMON: I've had some experience with this, Your Honor. And while counsel -- all counsel should be free to do what they wish.

In other cases where we've taken testimony by Zoom, we can reach -- I've reached agreements with opposing counsel that people can send a binder to be sealed and not opened up until the examination starts. And this way --

```
THE COURT: But like Mr. Stern had an exhibit that he
 1
 2
      found last night that he thought, "Hey, I'm going to use this.
      It wasn't in the binder."
 3
 4
               So we just have to make sure that that can work.
                                                                  Ι
 5
      don't want to limit anyone in here --
 6
               MR. MAIMON: I wouldn't suggest that it's any
 7
      limitation.
 8
               But to the extent that we think that it could
 9
      facilitate and make it easier, those type of safeguards or
10
      precautions or ideas can help facilitate the process along so
11
      that the one document here, one document there can be shown to
      the witness and dealt with easier than if we have the binders
12
      full.
13
14
               But that's just what I've done in other cases during
15
      the COVID pandemic.
               THE COURT: And there was a list in the Whitt case
16
      from the Eastern District of Tennessee of safeguards that that
17
18
      court ordered, which was that, "The plaintiffs ensured that
19
      the witness can be heard and understood by the jury and the
20
      parties.
               "That the witness testifies alone in a closed room,
21
22
      free of any outside influence."
23
               The same conditions that we would have in court.
24
               And that, "Defendant be allowed a sufficient
      opportunity to cross-examine the witness and that the
25
```

```
transmission of the video conference be instantaneous."
 2
               So I would set aside time next week after the jury
 3
      leaves to try this out, so we'd have to make sure
      Mr. Del Toral is available.
 4
 5
               I don't -- I want to finish reading what you've
 6
      submitted, do a little bit of my own research, and then make a
      decision.
 7
 8
               MR. MASON: And then I'd just request that the Court
 9
      consider -- I know you haven't ruled yet. But if the Court is
10
      going to test this out, that I be given the opportunity to
11
      voir dire the witness on the question of how significant this
12
      exposure is so the Court can make the determination at that
13
      time. Even if you're making a judgment subject to that, I'd
      like to be able to make a record.
14
15
               Because I do think as technology evolves, I would
      like to make a record on this if I could --
16
17
               THE COURT: Okay.
18
                          -- with respect to asking the witness
               MR. MASON:
      about this.
19
20
               THE COURT: Let me just ask Mr. Maimon or Mr. Stern,
21
      you've represented that he doesn't want to travel, that you've
22
      explored options.
23
               Do you know the answers to --
24
               MR. STERN: I know for certain that he is scared to
25
               I've heard that he has rarely, if ever, left his
```

home.

And he is concerned -- he hasn't talked to me about his 2 mental condition other than during the deposition. 3 But I have not asked him, "Is the reason you're 4 scared because of how it would affect your schizophrenia?" Ι 5 just don't feel like that's appropriate. 6 He represented to me he wants to be here, but he's 7 scared to travel. If someone doubts the veracity of the 8 representation I'm making, I have no problem if he asks 9 Mr. Del Toral himself. Because that's what he's told me, and I'm certain that's what he'll tell them. 10 11 THE COURT: That's a good idea. Okay. So I'll get 12 busy finalizing a decision on this. And if the decision is 13 that he can testify remotely, then we'll set a time for 20 14 minutes or so after the jury leaves next week. 15 MR. MAIMON: Thank you, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Anything else? Okay. Good. Take care. 17 (Proceedings Concluded) 18 19 20 CERTIFICATE OF OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER I, Jeseca C. Eddington, Federal Official Court 21 22 Reporter, do hereby certify the foregoing 171 pages are a true 23 and correct transcript of the above entitled proceedings. 24 /s/ JESECA C. EDDINGTON 03/30/2022 Jeseca C. Eddington, RDR, RMR, CRR, FCRR Date 25